A SURVEY OF THE DEVELOPMENT OF GEOMETRIC METHODS.*

ADDRESS DELIVERED BEFORE THE SECTION OF GEOMETRY OF THE INTERNATIONAL CONGRESS OF ARTS AND SCIENCE, ST. LOUIS, SEPTEMBER 24, 1904.

BY M. GASTON DARBOUX.

I.

To understand thoroughly the progress made in geometry during the century which has recently closed it is necessary to glance rapidly at the state of the mathematical sciences at the beginning of the nineteenth century. It is known that in the last period of his life, Lagrange, fatigued by those researches in analysis and mechanics which have assured to him an immortal renown, began to neglect mathematics for chemistry which according to him was becoming as easy as algebra, for physics, and for philosophic speculations. This state of mind of Lagrange is almost always found at certain times in the lives of the greatest scholars. Those new ideas which have come to them in the productive period of youth, and which they have introduced into the common domain of knowledge, have yielded to them all that can be expected of them; the man has fulfilled his task, and feels the need of turning the activities of his mind toward entirely new subjects. This need, it is necessary to recollect, began to manifest itself with especial force in Lagrange's time. For at that time the programme of the investigations opened to geometricians by the discovery of the infinitesimal calculus appeared to be nearly exhausted. A few differential equations more or less complicated to be integrated, a few chapters to be added to the integral calculus, and it seemed that the very limits of the science would be reached. Laplace was finishing the explanation of the system of the world and laying the foundation for molecular physics. New ways indeed were opening for the experimental sciences and were preparing for the astonishing development which those sciences were to receive during the century now closed. Am-

* Translated with the author's permission, by Professor HENRY DALLAS THOMPSON.

père, Poisson, Fourier, and even Cauchy, the creator of the theory of imaginary quantities, directed their best efforts to the application of the analytic methods to mechanics and molecular physics; they seemed to believe that just outside of this new domain, which they were in such haste to scour, the limits of theoretical science were rigidly fixed.

Modern geometry — this we must claim as its title to distinction — arrived at the very end of the eighteenth century to contribute in large measure to the rejuvenation of the whole of mathematical science by offering a new and productive field for investigation and especially by proving to us, by means of brilliant successes, that general methods do not exhaust a science, but that even in the simplest subject there remains much to be done by an ingenious and inventive mind. The beautiful geometric methods of Huygens, Newton, and Clairaut had been forgotten or neglected. The brilliant ideas introduced by Desargues and Pascal had been left without development, and seemed to have fallen on a barren soil. Carnot, with his Essai sur les transversales and the Géométrie de position, and Monge especially, with the descriptive geometry which he created and his beautiful theories upon the generation of surfaces, appeared just at this time and welded together the chain which seemed broken. Thanks to these two scholars the ideas of Descartes and Fermat, the inventors of analytic geometry, took beside the infinitesimal calculus of Leibniz and Newton the place which had been supinely lost, but which should never have been abdicated. "With his geometry," says Lagrange, speaking of Monge, "this devil of a man will make himself immortal." And indeed descriptive geometry has not only enabled us to coordinate and perfect the processes of every art "where excellence and success in work and product are conditioned by precision of form," but also it has proved to be the graphic representation of a general and purely rational geometry whose fertility has been demonstrated by numerous and important investigations. Moreover, side by side with Monge's Géométrie Descriptive we must not forget to place his other masterpiece, the Application de l'analyse à la Géométrie; nor must we forget that to Monge is due not only the notion of lines of curvature, but also the elegant integration of the differential equation of these lines for the case of the ellipsoid, for which, it is said, Lagrange envied him. It is necessary to lay stress upon the character of the whole of Monge's work. He, the regenerator

of modern geometry, pointed out from the beginning — though his successors may have forgotten it — that the alliance between geometry and analysis is useful and productive; and that perhaps this alliance is a condition for success to them both.

II.

Many geometers were molded in the school of Monge: Hachette, Brianchon, Chappuis, Binet, Lancret, Dupin, Malus, Gaultier de Tours, Poncelet, Chasles, etc.; among these Poncelet stands in the first rank. Neglecting everything in Monge's work that belongs to cartesian analysis or concerns infinitesimal geometry, he gave his efforts exclusively to the development of the purely geometric germs contained in the researches of his illustrious predecessor. Made prisoner by the Russians in 1813 at the crossing of the Dnieper and confined at Saratoff, Poncelet employed the leisure of captivity in demonstrating those principles which he developed in the Traité des propriétés projectives des figures, published in 1822, and in his great memoirs on reciprocal polars and harmonic means, which go back nearly to the same epoch. And thus Saratoff may be considered the birthplace of modern geometry. Rewelding the chain broken after Pascal and Desargues, Poncelet introduced both homology and reciprocal polars, thus emphasizing at the very beginning of the science those productive ideas on which the evolution of the science depended during the fifty years following.

The methods of Poncelet, presented in opposition to the analytic geometry, naturally were not received with favor by the French analysts. But the importance and novelty of these methods was such that they were not slow in stirring up in many directions the most profound researches. In discovering his principles Poncelet had been alone; but several geometers almost at the same time came on the scene to study these principles in all their phases, and to deduce the essential results implicitly contained therein.

Gergonne was brilliantly editing, at just this time, a magazine which to-day has an inestimable value for the history of geometry. The *Annales de Mathématiques*, published from 1810 to 1831 at Nîmes, was for more than fifteen years the one journal in the whole world devoted exclusively to mathematical research. Gergonne, who has left to us in many regards an excellent model for a director of a scientific journal,

had the faults of the qualities which made him successful, in that he collaborated, often against their will, with the authors of the memoirs submitted to him, making alterations which sometimes made an author say either more or less than he intended or desired. Nevertheless, he was keenly struck with the originality and range of Poncelet's discoveries. Already some simple methods of transformation of figures were known; homology even had been employed in the plane, but without extending it to space — as Poncelet did — but especially without its power and productivity being recognized. Moreover all these were point transformations, that is to say, they made a point correspond to a point. In introducing reciprocal polars, Poncelet showed in the highest degree the genius of the inventor; for he gave the first example of a transformation in which to a point corresponds something else than a point. Any method of transformation enables us to increase the number of theorems, but that of reciprocal polars has the advantage of causing to correspond to a proposition another proposition of totally different aspect. This was something essentially new. To exhibit this advantage, Gergonne invented the plan, since so prevalent, of articles written in double column, with the correlative propositions side by side; and his was the idea of replacing the demonstrations of Poncelet, which required the intervention of a conic or surface of the second degree, by the famous principle of duality, the significance of which, at first a little vague, was adequately explained in the discussions on the subject between Gergonne, Poncelet, and Plücker.

Bobillier, Chasles, Steiner, Lamé, Sturm and many others were at this time, with Plücker and Poncelet, assiduous contributors to the *Annales de Mathématiques*. Gergonne, having become rector of the academy of Montpellier, in 1831 was compelled to discontinue the publication of his journal. But the success which it had attained, and the thirst for research to the development of which it had contributed, had commenced to bear fruit. Quetelet had just founded in Belgium the *Correspondance mathématique et physique*. As early as 1826 Crelle brought out at Berlin the first numbers of his celebrated journal, in which he published the memoirs of Abel, Jacobi, and Steiner. Soon there were to appear also a large number of separate works in which the principles of modern geometry were presented and developed in a masterly manner.

The first of these, in 1827, was Möbius's Barycentric Cal-

culus, a truly original work, remarkable for the depth of the conceptions and the clearness and rigor of expression; then, in 1828, Plücker's Analytisch-geometrische Entwickelungen — the second part appearing in 1831 — shortly followed by the System der analytischen Geometrie published by the same author in 1835 at Berlin. In 1832 Steiner brought out at Berlin his great work: Systematische Entwickelung der Abhängigkeit geometrischer Gestalten von einander; and in the following year the Geometrische Constructionen ausgeführt mittelst der geraden Linie und eines festen Kreises, in which a proposition of Poncelet regarding the employment of a single circle for elementary geometric constructions is confirmed by the most elegant examples. Finally, in 1830, Chasles sent to the Brussels academy — which, happily inspired, had offered a prize for the study of the principles of modern geometry — his celebrated Aperçu historique sur l'origine et le développement des méthodes en Géométrie, followed by the Mémoire sur deux principes généraux de la Science: la dualité et l'homographie, which was not published until 1837.

Time is here lacking to give an account of each of these beautiful investigations and to comment worthily upon them. Moreover to what could such a study conduct us except to a new verification of the general laws in the development of science. When the time is ripe, when fundamental principles have been discovered and stated, nothing stops the progress of ideas; the same discoveries, or discoveries almost equivalent, are made almost at the same instant, and in divers places. Without attempting a discussion of this kind, which moreover might appear useless or irritating, nevertheless it is of consequence for us to bring out distinctly a fundamental difference in the tendencies of the great mathematicians who about 1830 had just given to geometry an impetus before unknown.

III.

Those who, like Chasles and Steiner, devoted their whole lives to inquiries in pure geometry, opposed to analysis that which they called synthesis; and adopting in the main rather than in detail the tendencies of Poncelet, they proposed to institute an independent theory, a rival to the cartesian analysis.

Poncelet could not content himself with the insufficient resources furnished by the method of projection; to reach the imaginary, he was compelled to contrive that famous principle

of continuity which gave rise to the long discussions between himself and Cauchy. Suitably formulated, this principle is excellent and can render great service. But Poncelet erred in refusing to present it as a simple consequence of analysis; and, on the other hand, Cauchy was in the wrong in not being willing to acknowledge that his objections, sound no doubt when applied to certain transcendental figures, were without force in the applications made by the author of the Traité des propriétés projectives. Whatever opinion one may form on the subject of such a discussion, it at least shows in the clearest manner that the geometric system of Poncelet rested on an analytic basis; and we know moreover, by the unfortunate publication of the Saratoff notes, that it was by the aid of cartesian analysis that the principles which serve as the base of the Traité des propriétés projectives were first established.

Somewhat later than Poncelet — who, moreover, abandoned geometry for mechanics, where his work has had a preponderating influence — Chasles, for whom a chair in higher geometry in the Faculty of Science at Paris was created in 1847, endeavored to found an entirely independent and autonomous geometric theory. This he developed in two works of the greatest importance: in the Traité de Géométrie supérieure, which dates from 1852, and in the Traité des sections coniques, unfortunately unfinished, of which the first part alone appeared in 1865.

In the preface to the first of these works the author indicates very clearly the three fundamental points which allow to the new doctrine participation in the benefits of analysis, and which seem to him to mark a step forward in the cultivation of the science. These are:

1. The introduction of the principle of signs, which simplifies both statements and proofs, and gives to Carnot's method of transversals the full range of which it is capable;

2. The introduction of the imaginary, which takes the place of the principle of continuity, and furnishes demonstrations as general as those of analytic geometry;

3. The simultaneous demonstration of propositions which are correlative, that is to say, those which correspond in virtue of the principle of duality.

While homography and correlation were certainly studied by Chasles in his writings, nevertheless in his exposition he systematically set aside the use of the transformations of figures, which, as he thought, could not take the place of direct

demonstrations because they masked the origin and the true nature of the properties obtained by means of them. There is some truth in this judgment, but the very progress of the science causes us to consider it as too severe. If it often happens that, employed without discernment, transformations uselessly multiply the number of theorems, it is not therefore necessary to ignore the fact that often also they aid us in the better understanding of the nature of the very propositions to which they have been applied. Is it not the use of Poncelet's projection which has led to the very fertile distinction between projective and metrical properties, which moreover has made us acquainted with the great importance of the anharmonic ratio, whose essential property is found as far back as Pappus, and the fundamental rôle of which began to appear only after fifteen centuries in the efforts of modern geometry?

The introduction of the principle of signs was not so new as Chasles thought when he wrote his Traité de Géométrie supérieure. Already Möbius in his Barycentric Calculus had answered a desideratum of Carnot, and employed signs in the broadest and most precise manner, defining for the first time the sign of a segment and even that of an area. Later he was successful in extending the use of signs to lengths which are not upon the same line and to angles which have not their vertices at the same point. Moreover, Grassmann, whose mind was so like that of Möbius, must necessarily have used the principle of signs in the definitions which form the foundation of his very original method of studying the properties of extension.

The second characteristic which Chasles assigns to his system of geometry is the use of the imaginary. Here his method was truly new and he was able to illustrate it by exceedingly interesting examples. We shall always admire the beautiful theory which he has left to us, of confocal surfaces of the second degree, where all the known properties and other new ones — as various as elegant — are derived from the general principle that they are inscribed in the same developable circumscribed to the circle at infinity. But he introduced the imaginaries only by their symmetric functions and in consequence was not able to define the anharmonic ratio of four elements when these ceased to be real in whole or in part. If Chasles had been able to establish the notion of the anharmonic ratio for imaginary elements, a formula which he gives in the Géométrie supérieure (page 118

of the new edition) would have given him immediately that beautiful definition of an angle as the logarithm of an anharmonic ratio, which enabled Laguerre, our regretted colleague, to give the complete solution so long sought to the problem of the transformation by homography and correlation of the relations which contain both angles and segments.

As did Chasles, so also has Steiner, that great and profound mathematician, followed the path of pure geometry; but he has neglected to give us a complete exposition of the methods upon which he relied. However, they can be characterized by saying that they rested upon the introduction of those elementary geometric forms which Desargues had already considered; upon the development which he was capable of giving to Bobillier's theory of polars; and finally upon the construction of higher curves and surfaces by the aid of pencils or nets of lower orders. Even if this were not known from more recent researches, analysis would suffice to show us that the field thus covered is coextensive with that to which the method of Descartes gives easy access.

IV.

While Chasles, Steiner, and later, as we shall see, von Staudt, applied themselves to the task of constructing a rival doctrine to analysis, thus in a way setting up one altar against another, Gergonne, Bobillier, Sturm, and above all Plücker, were perfecting the cartesian geometry and developing an analytic system somewhat adequate to the discoveries of the geometricians. It is to Bobillier and to Plücker that we owe the so-called method of abridged notation. Bobillier devoted to this method some truly original pages in the later volumes of Gergonne's Annales. Plücker began to develop it in his first volume, soon followed by a series of works in which are established the foundations of methodically modern analytic geometry. To him we owe tangential coördinates, trilinear coördinates, used in homogeneous equations, and finally the employment of canonical forms, the validity of which is recognized by the so-called method of the enumeration of constants, often misleading but very productive. All these happy inspirations served to infuse new blood into cartesian analysis and to enable it to bring out the full meaning of those conceptions which the so-called synthetic geometry had not been able to master completely. Plücker, with whom in this connection it is doubtless just to

join Bobillier (who died prematurely) should be considered as the true originator of those methods in modern analysis in which the use of homogeneous coördinates permits one to treat simultaneously and, so to speak, without the reader noticing it, not only the particular figure under consideration, but also all those deduced from it by homography and correlation.

V.

From this time on a brilliant period opened for geometric research of every kind. The analysts interpreted all their results and endeavored to translate these results in their constructions. The geometricians aimed at discovering in every problem some general principle, usually demonstrable only with the aid of analysis, so that from this principle might be deduced without effort a mass of particular consequences, solidly bound together, and bound also to the principle from which they were derived. Thus Otto Hesse, the brilliant disciple of Jacobi, developed in an admirable manner that method of homogeneous variables to which Plücker perhaps had not been able to give full value. At this time also Boole discovered in Bobillier's polars the first notion of a covariant; the theory of quantics was created by the works of Cayley, Sylvester, Hermite, and Brioschi. Later Aronhold, Clebsch and Gordan, and other geometers still living, furnished the final notations, established the fundamental theorem relative to the limitation of the number of independent covariant forms, and thus gave that theory its present completeness.

The theory of surfaces of the second order, built up mainly by the school of Monge, was enriched by a mass of elegant properties, established for the most part by Otto Hesse, who found later in Paul Serret a worthy rival and successor.

The properties of the polars of algebraic curves were developed by Plücker, and still more by Steiner. The study of the cubic, which dates back to a much earlier period, was rejuvenated and enriched by a mass of new material. Steiner was the first to study by the methods of pure geometry the double tangents of the quartic, and later Hesse applied the methods of algebra to this beautiful question as well as to that of the points of inflexion of the cubic.

The notion of the class of a curve, introduced by Gergonne, and the study of a paradox partly elucidated by Poncelet, relative to the respective degrees of two curves, polar recip-

rocals to each other, gave birth to the researches of Plücker relative to the so-called ordinary singularities of algebraic plane curves. The celebrated formulas to which Plücker was thus led were later extended by Cayley and other geometricians to algebraic curves in space, and by Cayley and Salmon to algebraic surfaces. The singularities of higher orders were in their turn taken up by the geometers; contrary to an opinion then quite prevalent, Halphen demonstrated that not every such singularity can be considered as equivalent to a definite aggregate of ordinary singularities. These researches closed for a time this difficult and important question.

Analysis and geometry—Steiner, Cayley, Salmon, and Cremona, met in the study of cubic surfaces, and conformably to the anticipation of Steiner this theory became as simple and easy as that of the surfaces of the second order.

The algebraic ruled surfaces, so important in the applications, were studied by Chasles, by Cayley whose influence and footsteps are found in all mathematical investigations, by Cremona, by Salmon, by La Gournerie, and later by Plücker in a work to which we shall return later.

The study of the general surface of the fourth order seemed still to be too difficult; but that of certain particular surfaces of this order with multiple points or lines was commenced by Plücker for the wave surface, by Steiner, Kummer, Cayley, Moutard, Laguerre, Cremona, and many other investigators. As to the theory of algebraic curves in space, enriched in the elementary parts, it received the most marked growth later through the labors of Halphen and Noether, whom it is impossible here to separate. A new theory destined to have a great future was born with the works of Chasles, Clebsch, and Cremona; it concerns the study of all algebraic curves which can be traced upon a given surface.

Homography and correlation, the two methods of transformation which were the distant origin of all preceding researches, received from them in turn unlooked-for assistance and augmentation: They are not the only transformations that make a single element correspond to a single element, as could have been shown by a particular transformation cursorily treated by Poncelet in the Traité des propriétés projectives. Plücker defined the transformation by reciprocal radii vectores or inversion, whose great importance Sir William Thomson and Liouville were not long in showing both in mathematical physics

and in geometry. A contemporary of Möbius and of Plücker, Magnus, thought he had found the most general transformation which makes one point correspond to one point, but the investigations of Cremona show us that Magnus's transformation is only the first term of a series of birational transformations which the great Italian geometer shows us how to determine methodically, at least for the figures of plane geometry. Cremona's transformations will long preserve their importance and interest even though later investigations have shown that they always reduce to a series of successive applications of Magnus's transformation.

VI.

Every one of the works just enumerated, together with others to which we shall return later, finds its origin and, at least to a certain degree, its impetus in the conceptions of modern geometry; but it is now fitting to indicate rapidly another source of great progress in the study of geometry. Legendre's theory of the elliptic functions, sadly neglected by French geometricians, was developed and enlarged by Abel and Jacobi. In the hands of these great mathematicians, soon followed by Riemann and Weierstrass, the theory of abelian functions, which algebra later endeavored to handle alone, began to bring to the geometry of curves and surfaces a contribution the importance of which will continue to grow.

Even earlier, Jacobi had used the theory of elliptic functions in the demonstration of Poncelet's celebrated theorems relating to inscribed and circumscribed polygons, introducing thus a chapter which has since been enriched by a multitude of elegant results; already also had he obtained, by methods belonging to geometry, the integration of the abelian equations.

But it was left to Clebsch to be the first to show in a long series of articles the whole importance of Abel's and Riemann's notion of the deficiency of a curve by developing numerous results and elegant solutions in which the use of abelian integrals produced such simplicity as to make this appear their true origin. The study of the inflexional points of the cubic curve, that of the double tangents to the quartic, and in general that of the theory of osculation which has furnished interest to so many both in earlier and recent times, was linked to the beautiful problem of the division of the periods of elliptic and abelian functions.

In one of his memoirs, Clebsch had studied the rational curves or those of deficiency zero; this led him toward the end of his regretably short life to consider what one may call rational surfaces, namely those which may be simply represented upon a plane. Here there was a great field for discovery, opened already for the elementary cases by Chasles, in which Clebsch was followed by Cremona and many other scholars. This was the occasion when Cremona, generalizing his investigations in plane geometry, brought to light if not the totality of birational transformations of space, at least some of the more interesting among these transformations. The extension of the idea of deficiency to algebraic surfaces was already begun; and some later works of great value have shown that the theory of simple or multiple integrals of algebraic differentials, in the consideration of surfaces as well as curves, will offer an extended region of important applications; but it is not in a historical account of geometry that it is proper to emphasize this matter.

VII.

While thus those mixed methods whose principal applications we have just indicated were being founded, those interested in pure geometry were not inactive. Poinsot, the creator of the theory of couples, developed by a purely geometric method, "in which," as he said, "not for a single moment is the object of the investigation lost sight of," the theory of the rotation of a solid body which the researches of d'Alembert, Euler, and Lagrange seemed to have exhausted; Chasles made a valuable contribution to kinematics in his beautiful theorems on the displacement of a solid body, which have been extended since by other elegant methods to the case where the movement has various degrees of freedom. He made known also his beautiful propositions on attraction in general, which stand without disadvantage beside those of Green and Gauss. Chasles and Steiner met in the study of the attraction of the ellipsoid and thus showed once more that geometry holds a conspicuous place in the highest questions of the integral calculus.

Steiner did not disdain to occupy himself at the same time with the elementary parts of geometry. His investigations on the contact of circles and conics, on isoperimetric problems, on parallel surfaces, and on the centroid of curvature

excited the admiration of everyone by their simplicity and depth.

Chasles introduced his principle of correspondence between variable elements of two systems which has given birth to so many applications; but here again analysis claims its place to study the principle in its essence, to give it its exact form, and finally to generalize it. Exactly similar is the history of the famous theory of characteristics, and of the numerous researches of de Jonquières, Chasles, Cremona, and others, which were to furnish the foundation of a new branch of the science, viz., enumerative geometry. For many years the celebrated postulate of Chasles was admitted without objection; many geometers thought they had established it in an irrefutable manner. But, as Zeuthen then said, it is extremely difficult to make sure in demonstrations of this kind that there is not still some weak place in the reasoning which the author has not perceived; and indeed, Halphen, after some fruitless attempts, finally capped all these researches by showing clearly in what cases Chasles's postulate can be admitted, and when it must be rejected.

VIII.

Such were the principal investigations which at that time reinstated synthetic geometry in its honorable position, and assured to it during the last century the place which belongs to it in mathematical research. Numerous and illustrious laborers took part in this great geometric movement, but it must be acknowledged that it had Chasles and Steiner as its leaders. Such was the brilliancy displayed by their marvelous discoveries that, at least for a time, they threw into the shade the publications of many modest geometricians, less preoccupied perhaps in finding brilliant applications which should make geometry attractive, than in fixing this science upon an absolutely solid foundation. The work of these latter has perhaps received more tardy recognition, but their influence increases each day; and it will doubtless increase still more. To pass them over in silence would be to neglect, doubtless, one of the principal factors which will play a part in future investigations. It is primarily to von Staudt that we allude here. His geometric work was presented in two publications of great merit: the Geometrie der Lage, appearing in 1847, and the Beiträge zur Geometrie der Lage, published in 1856, nearly four years after the Géométrie supérieure.

Chasles, as we have seen, was preoccupied with founding a body of doctrine independent of the cartesian analysis, and here he was not entirely successful. We have already indicated one of the objections that can be raised against this system, viz: imaginary elements are there defined only by their symmetric functions, and this excludes them necessarily from very many researches. On the other hand, the constant use of the anharmonic ratio, of transversals, and of involution, which requires frequent analytic transformations, gives to the Géométrie supérieure an almost exclusively metrical character which separates it notably from the methods of Poncelet. Returning to those methods, von Staudt applied himself to founding a geometry free from every metric relation and based exclusively on relations of position. It was in this spirit that his first work, the Geometrie der Lage of 1847, was conceived. The author there takes as starting point the harmonic properties of the complete quadrilateral and those of homologous triangles, proved solely by theorems of three-dimensional geometry wholly analogous to those of which Monge's school made such frequent use.

In this first part of his work von Staudt entirely neglected imaginary elements. Only in the Beiträge, his second work, by means of a very original extension of Chasles's method, did he succeed in defining geometrically an isolated imaginary element and in distinguishing it from its conjugate. This extension, while rigorous, is laborious and very abstract. In substance it can be explained as follows: Two conjugate imaginary points can always be considered as the double points of an involution on a real straight line; and just as we pass from one imaginary to its conjugate by changing i into $-i$, so we may distinguish the two imaginary points by making correspond to each of them one of the two different senses of direction that can be attributed to the line. There is something a little artificial in this; and the development of the theory raised on such a foundation is necessarily complicated. By purely projective methods von Staudt established a complete method of reckoning with the anharmonic ratio of the most general imaginary elements. Projective geometry, like all the rest of geometry, uses the idea of order, and order begets number; it is not then astonishing that von Staudt was able to create this calculus of his; and we must admire the ingenuity which he displayed in attaining this end. Despite the efforts of the distinguished

geometers who have endeavored to simplify his presentation, we fear that this part of von Staudt's geometry, as well as the very interesting geometry of the profound thinker Grassmann, cannot prevail against the analytic methods which now have acquired almost universal favor. Life is short, the geometrician knows and practices the principle of least action. Despite these fears, which should not discourage any one, it seems to us that under the first form in which it was presented by von Staudt projective geometry should become the necessary companion to descriptive geometry, that it is destined to renew this geometry in its spirit, in its methods of procedure, and in its applications. This has been already recognized in many countries, notably in Italy, where the great geometer Cremona did not disdain to write an elementary treatise on projective geometry for the schools.

IX.

In the preceding sections we have endeavored to follow through and to point out clearly the far-reaching consequences of the methods of Monge and Poncelet. In originating tangential and homogeneous coördinates Plücker seemed to have exhausted all that the methods of projections and reciprocal polars could furnish to analysis. It remained for him, toward the end of his life, to return to his earlier investigations and give to them an extension which should enlarge in unlooked-for proportions the domain of geometry.

Preceded by numerous researches upon systems of straight lines, due to Poinsot, Möbius, Chasles, Dupin, Malus, Hamilton, Kummer, Transon, and above all to Cayley (who first introduced the idea of the coördinates of a line), investigations which have their origin partly in statics and kinematics, partly in geometrical optics — though preceded by all these, Plücker's geometry of the straight line will always be regarded as the part of his work where the newest and most interesting ideas are found. The fact that Plücker was the first to create a methodic study of the straight line is in itself important, but is nothing compared to what he discovered. It is sometimes said that the principle of duality puts in evidence the fact that the plane can be considered as an element of space just as well as the point. This is so, but in adding to the plane and to the point the straight line as a possible element of space Plücker was led to realize that any curve whatever, that any surface whatever can also be

considered as an element of space, and thus is brought into existence that new geometry which already has inspired a great number of investigations and which will stimulate the authors of still more in the future. A beautiful discovery of which we shall speak later has already connected the geometry of spheres with that of straight lines, and has led to the introduction of the idea of the coördinates of a sphere. The theory of systems of circles is already begun; it will doubtless be developed further when it is found desirable to study the representation, due to Laguerre, of an imaginary point in space by means of an oriented circle.

But before presenting the development of these new ideas which have vitalized Monge's infinitesimal methods, it is necessary to turn back and pick up again the history of the branches of geometry so far neglected in this discussion.

X.

Thus far we have confined ourselves to the consideration of those investigations of the school of Monge which have to do with finite geometry; but some of Monge's disciples devoted themselves primarily to the development of those new ideas in infinitesimal geometry that had been applied by their master to curves of double curvature, to lines of curvature, and to the generation of surfaces, ideas which are developed at least in part in the Application de l'Analyse à la Géométrie. Among these men we must cite Lancret, the author of the beautiful researches on twisted curves, and above all Charles Dupin, perhaps the only one who followed in all the paths opened by Monge.

Besides other publications, we owe to Dupin two works, of which Monge himself would not have been ashamed to be the author; the Développements de Géométrie pure, appearing in 1813, and the Applications de Géométrie et de Mécanique, which dates from 1822. Here is found that idea of the indicatrix which, after Euler and Meunier, was to revive and refound completely the theories of curvature, of conjugate tangents, and of asymptotic lines, which have taken such an important place in recent investigations. Nor should we forget the determination of the surface upon which all lines of curvature are circles, nor above all the memoir on triply orthogonal systems of surfaces, where may be found not only the discovery of the triple

system of surfaces of the second degree but also the celebrated theorem to which the name of Dupin will always be attached.

Under the influence of these publications and of the revival of synthetic methods, the geometry of the infinitely small again assumed in all investigations the place from which Lagrange had wished to exclude it forever. It is singular that the geometric methods thus restored were about to receive the liveliest impulse from the publication of a memoir which, at least at first sight, seemed to belong to the purest analysis; we allude to Gauss's celebrated paper, "Disquisitiones generales circa superficies curvas," which was presented in 1827 to the Royal Society at Göttingen, and whose appearance can well be said to mark an important and eventful date in the history of the infinitesimal geometry.

Thanks to this powerful help and to the writings of Monge and Dupin, the infinitesimal method received in France an impetus before unknown. Frenet, Bertrand, Molins, J. A. Serret, Bouquet, Puiseux, Ossian Bonnet, and Paul Serret developed the theory of twisted curves. Liouville, Chasles, and Minding joined them in the prosecution of the methodic study of Gauss's memoir. Jacobi's integration of the differential equation of the geodesic lines of the ellipsoid also incited many investigations. At the same time there was a great development of the problems studied in Monge's Application de l'Analyse. Some of the results already partially obtained by Monge were completed in the happiest way by the determination of all the surfaces having plane or spherical lines of curvature.

At this time lived Gabriel Lamé, one of the most acute of geometers, according to the judgment of Jacobi. He, like Charles Sturm, had commenced with pure geometry and had made most interesting contributions to this science by means of a little book published in 1817 and by his memoirs in Gergonne's Annales. Employing the results of Dupin and Binet concerning the system of confocal surfaces of the second degree, and rising to the notion of curvilinear coördinates in space, he became the inventor of a whole new theory destined to receive the most varied applications in the domain of mathematical physics.

XI.

Here also, in infinitesimal geometry, are found the two tendencies which have been noticed in the geometry of finite quantities.

Some, among whom must be placed J. Bertrand and O. Bonnet, wished to build up an independent method resting directly upon the use of the infinitely small. Bertrand's great Traité de Calcul différentiel contains several chapters on the theory of curves and surfaces which to a certain extent illustrate this point of view. The exponents of the other tendency followed the ordinary analytic way, aiming only at thoroughly understanding and exhibiting the elements which are of primary importance. This is the course which Lamé followed in introducing his theory of differential parameters. This is the course which Beltrami followed in extending with great ingenuity the use of these differential invariants to the case of two independent variables, that is to say, to the study of surfaces.

At present it seems to be the custom to prefer a mixed method, the origin of which is found in Ribaucour's writings under the name of *perimorphy*. Here the rectangular axes of analytic geometry are retained, but they are rendered movable and related with the system to be studied in the manner which appears the most advantageous. Most of the objections urged against the method of coördinates are thus removed; and the advantages of what is sometimes called intrinsic geometry are combined with those which result from the use of the ordinary analysis. Nor is this analysis abandoned; but the complicated calculus which it almost always introduces when applied to the study of surfaces in rectilinear coördinates disappear soonest if we use the notions of invariants and covariants of differential quadratic forms, which we owe to the investigations of Lipschitz and Christoffel that were inspired by Riemann's study of non-euclidean geometry.

XII.

The results of these numerous investigations were not long in coming. The notion of geodesic curvature, which Gauss already had found but had not published, was given by Bonnet and Liouville; the theory of surfaces with a functional relation between the radii of curvature, begun in Germany with two propositions which would have worthily occupied a place in Gauss's memoir, was enriched with a mass of propositions by Ribaucour, Halphen, S. Lie, and others. Some of these propositions have to do with the general properties of such surfaces; others have to do with particular cases where the relation between the radii of curvature takes a particularly

simple form, *e. g.*, the minimal surfaces, and surfaces with constant curvature, positive or negative.

Minimal surfaces have been the object of investigations which have made their study the most attractive chapter in infinitesimal geometry. The integration of their partial differential equation forms one of the most beautiful discoveries of Monge; but, owing to the imperfection of the theory of the imaginary, that great geometrician could not get from his formulas any method of generation of these surfaces — nor even a single particular surface. We will not here enter into the detailed history which has been presented in our Leçons sur la théorie des surfaces; but it is fitting to recall the fundamental investigations of Bonnet which have given to us, in particular, the idea of the surfaces *associated* with a given surface; also Weierstrass's formulas which establish a close bond between minimal surfaces and the functions of a complex variable; also Lie's investigations by which it has been established that even Monge's formulas could now be made the basis of a profitable study of minimal surfaces. The endeavor to determine the minimal surfaces of the lowest class or degree led to the notion of double minimal surfaces, which belongs to analysis situs.

Three problems varying in importance have been studied in this theory.

The first, relative to the determination of the minimal surfaces inscribed to a given developable with a given curve of contact, was solved by those celebrated formulas which later led to many special propositions; *e. g.*, every straight line traced upon such a surface is an axis of symmetry.

The second, formulated by S. Lie, requires the determination of all algebraic minimal surfaces inscribed in an algebraic developable, the curve of contact not being given. This problem also has been completely solved.

The third and most difficult is that problem which the physicist solves empirically by plunging a closed contour into a solution of glycerine. The problem has to do with the determination of the minimal surface passing through a given contour. It is evident that the solution surpasses the resources of geometry. But thanks to the capabilities of the highest analysis, it has been solved for certain contours in the celebrated memoir of Riemann and in the profound researches which followed or were coeval with this memoir. As to the solution for a perfectly general contour, its study

has been brilliantly begun and it will be continued by our successors.

After minimal surfaces, the surfaces with constant curvature naturally attracted the attention of geometers. Bonnet's ingenious theorem connected together the surface of constant mean curvature and the surface of constant total curvature. Bour announced that the partial differential equation of the surface of constant curvature could be completely integrated. This result has not been attained; and its attainment seems hardly probable in view of the investigation in which Sophus Lie vainly tried to apply a certain general method of integrating partial differential equations to the particular equation of surfaces with constant curvature. But while it is impossible to determine all these surfaces in finite terms, at least some of them characterized by special properties have been obtained, namely those with plane or spherical lines of curvature; and by the use of a method which has proved successful in many other problems, it has been shown that from every surface with constant curvature can be derived an infinity of other surfaces of the same kind, and this by the use of clearly defined operations which require only quadratures.

The theory of the deformation of surfaces in Gauss's sense was also greatly enriched. To Minding and Bour is due the detailed study of that special deformation of ruled surfaces which leaves the generators straight lines. While, as has just been said, the determination of surfaces applicable upon a sphere was impossible, this determination for other surfaces of the second degree has been attacked with greater success, and particularly for the paraboloid of revolution. The systematic study of the deformation of the general surfaces of the second degree is already opened; it is a study which will doubtless give most important results in the future.

The theory of an infinitely small deformation constitutes today one of the most complete chapters of geometry. It is the first extended application of a general method which seems to have a great future.

Being given a system of differential equations or a system of partial differential equations, sufficient to determine a certain number of unknowns, we conventionally associate with that system another system of equations, which we have called the auxiliary system, and which determines the systems of solutions infinitely near to any given system of solutions. The

auxiliary system being necessarily linear, its use in any investigation throws a valuable light on the properties of the proposed system and upon the possibility of its integration.

The theory of lines of curvature and of asymptotic lines has been notably extended. Not only was it possible to determine these two sets of lines for certain particular surfaces such as Lamé's tetrahedral surfaces; but also, in developing Moutard's results relative to a particular class of linear partial differential equations of the second order, it was possible to generalize what had been obtained for surfaces with plane or spherical lines of curvature by determining completely all the classes of surfaces for which the problem of the spherical representation can be solved. The correlated problem relative to the asymptotic lines was solved also by finding all the surfaces of which the infinitely small deformation can be determined in finite terms. There is here a vast field for investigation, the exploration of which has hardly been begun.

The infinitesimal study of congruences of straight lines, begun long since by Dupin, Bertrand, Hamilton, and Kummer, is only beginning to be connected with all these investigations. Ribaucour, who in this took a leading part, studied certain classes of rectilinear congruences and in particular the so-called isotropic congruences, which come in most happily in the study of minimal surfaces.

The triply orthogonal systems which Lamé had employed in mathematical physics became the object of systematic investigations. Cayley was the first to form the partial differential equation of the third order upon which the general solution of this problem has been made to depend. The system of confocal surfaces of the second order was generalized and gave birth to the theory of the general cyclides, in which theory it is possible to use at one and the same time the resources of the metrical, projective, and infinitesimal geometries. Many other orthogonal systems were presented. Among these it is fitting to mention Ribaucour's cyclic systems for which one of the three families has circles for its orthogonal trajectories, and the more general systems for which these orthogonal trajectories are simply plane curves. The systematic use of the imaginary, which must not be excluded from geometry, rendered it possible to make all these determinations depend upon the study of the finite deformation of a particular surface.

Among the methods which made possible all these results, it

is fitting to designate the systematic use of the linear partial differential equations of the second order and of systems of such equations. The most recent investigations show that this method is destined to lead to a revision of most of the theories.

Infinitesimal geometry could not neglect the study of the two fundamental problems set by the calculus of variations.

The problem of the shortest path on a surface was the object of masterly studies by Jacobi and Ossian Bonnet. The study of the geodesic lines was extended, and these lines were determined for new surfaces. The theory of assemblages made it possible to follow these lines in their course on a given surface. The solution of a problem relative to the representation of one surface upon another increased greatly the interest in the discoveries of Jacobi and Liouville relative to a particular class of surfaces where the geodesic lines can be determined. The results from this particular case lead to the consideration of a new question, namely: To find all the problems of the calculus of variation the solutions of which are furnished by curves satisfying a given differential equation.

Finally, Jacobi's methods were extended to space of three dimensions and applied to obtain the solution of a question which presents the greatest difficulties, namely: the study of the minimum properties belonging to a minimal surface passing through a given contour.

XIII.

Among the discoverers who have contributed to the development of infinitesimal geometry, Sophus Lie is distinguished by many important discoveries which place him in the first rank. He was not one of those who exhibit in youth very marked aptitudes; when in 1865 he left the University of Christiania, he was still hesitating between philology and mathematics. The works of Plücker first caused him to realize his true vocation; and he published in 1869 a first investigation on the interpretation of imaginaries in geometry, and from 1870 on he was in possession of the ideas which mapped out his whole career.

I had the pleasure at that time of frequently seeing and entertaining him in Paris, whither he had come with his friend Felix Klein. A course of lectures delivered by Sylow and attended by Lie disclosed to him the great importance of the theory of substitutions; and the two friends studied this theory

in Camille Jordan's great treatise; they recognized fully the important rôle which this theory was destined to play in many branches of mathematical science where it had not yet been applied. Each of them has had the good fortune of contributing by his publications to turning mathematical research in that direction which seemed to him the best.

As early as 1870 Sophus Lie presented to the Paris Academy of Sciences an extremely interesting discovery: Nothing resembles a sphere so little as a straight line, but nevertheless Lie conceived of a peculiar transformation which made a sphere correspond to a straight line, and therefore set up a method of linking every proposition having to do with straight lines to a proposition having to do with spheres and *vice versa*. In this most striking method of transformation each property of the lines of curvature of a surface furnishes a proposition on the asymptotic lines of the transformed surface. Lie's name will always remain attached to those recondite relations which join together those two essential and fundamental elements of geometrical investigation, the straight line and the sphere. These relations he developed in a memoir filled with new ideas, which appeared in 1872.

The researches which followed Lie's brilliant entrance into mathematics fully confirmed the hopes to which it had given rise. Plücker's conception of the generation of space by straight lines, or by arbitrarily chosen curves or surfaces, opened to the theory of algebraic forms a field which was not yet explored, which Clebsch had barely started to investigate and for which he had only just begun to fix the boundaries. But in the domain of the infinitesimal geometry this conception was given its full value by Sophus Lie. The great Norwegian geometrician then found first the notion of congruences and complexes of curves and later that of contact transformations, the first germ of which he had found for the case of the plane in Plücker. The study of these transformations led him to perfect, contemporaneously with Mayer, the integration methods which Jacobi had instituted for partial differential equations of the first order; moreover it threw the most radiant light upon the most difficult and obscure parts of the theory of partial differential equations of a higher order. In particular it allowed Lie to indicate every case in which Monge's method of characteristics is fully applicable to equations of the second order in two independent variables.

In the continuation of the study of these special transformations, Lie was led to construct step by step his masterly theory of continuous transformation groups and to render evident the important role which the group notion plays in geometry. Among the essential elements of his investigations it is fitting to mention the infinitesimal transformations, the idea of which belongs exclusively to him. Three great works published under his direction by able and devoted collaborators contain the essential part of his labors together with their application to the theory of integration, to the theory of complex units, and to non-euclidean geometry.

XIV.

I have thus arrived by an indirect way at the non-euclidean geometry, the study of which daily assumes increasing importance in the researches of geometricians. If I were the only speaker on geometry, I should take pleasure in recalling to you everything that has been done in this subject since Euclid or at least since Legendre, up to the present day. Considered in succession by the greatest geometricians of the last century, this subject has been progressively enlarged. It began with the consideration of the celebrated parallel postulate, but it has come to include all the geometric axioms.

Euclid's Elements, which have withstood the toil of so many centuries, will at least have had the honor of calling forth, before their end, a long series of admirably connected investigations, which not only will contribute in a most efficacious way to mathematical progress, but also will furnish to the philosopher the most precise and firm starting points for the study of the origin and formation of our concepts. I am assured in advance that my distinguished collaborator will not forget among the problems of the present this problem, which perhaps is the most important, and with which he has occupied himself with so much success; and I leave to him the task of developing it with all the fullness which it assuredly deserves.

I have just spoken of the elements of geometry. They have received during the last hundred years additions which it is not right to forget. The theory of polyhedrons was enriched by Poinsot's beautiful discoveries on star-shaped, and by Möbius's one-sided polyhedrons. The transformation methods have enlarged the aspect of this study. We can to-day express our view of this subject by saying: That the

first book contains the theory of translation and symmetry, that the second is equivalent to the theory of rotation and translation, that the third depends upon inversion and the theory of figures similar and similarly placed. But it must be acknowledged that it is by the aid of analysis that the elements have been enriched by their most beautiful propositions. For it is to the highest analysis that we owe the solution of the problem of the inscribed regular polygon of 17 sides and of the analogous problems. To it we owe the demonstrations so long sought of the impossibility of the quadrature of the circle, of the impossibility of certain geometric constructions by the aid of the straight-edge and compasses only. Finally, it is to it that we owe the first rigorous demonstrations of the maximum and minimum properties of the sphere. It will be the task of geometry to enter this domain where analysis has preceded it.

What will be the elements of geometry in the course of the century just beginning? Will there be a single elementary book of geometry? America perhaps, with its schools freed from every program and tradition, will give us the best solutions of this important and difficult question. Von Staudt has sometimes been called "the Euclid of the nineteenth century"; I should prefer to call him "the Euclid of projective geometry"; but is this branch of geometry, no matter how interesting it may be, called on to furnish alone the foundation of the future Elements?

XV.

The time has come to close this narrative already too long, and yet there remains a mass of interesting investigations which I may be said to have neglected under compulsion. I should have liked to speak to you of those geometries in any number of dimensions, the idea of which goes back to the beginnings of algebra, but of which the systematic study has been taken up only in the last sixty years by Cayley and Cauchy.

This kind of research has found favor in your country, and I need hardly recall to you that our president,* after showing himself the worthy continuer of Laplace and Leverrier, in a space which he considers with us as being endowed with three dimensions, has not disdained to publish in the *American Journal* considerations of great interest on the geometries of n dimensions. A single objection already formulated by Poisson may

* Professor Simon Newcomb.

be urged against studies of this kind: namely, the absence of all real basis, of any substratum that will allow the results obtained to be presented under a visible and in a way tangible form. The extension of the methods of descriptive geometry, and above all the employment of Plücker's notions of the generation of space will do much toward depriving this objection of its weight.

I should also have wished to speak to you of the method of equipollences, the germ of which we find in Gauss's posthumous papers, of Hamilton's quaternions, of Grassmann's methods, and in general of the systems of complex units, of the analysis situs so closely connected with the theory of functions, of the so-called kinematic geometry, of the theory of abaci, of geometrography, of geometric applications in natural philosophy and in the arts. But I would fear if I enlarged too much, that some analyst — there have been such — would accuse geometry of wishing to monopolize everything.

My admiration for analysis, become so fruitful and powerful in our time, would not permit me to conceive such a thought. But if such a reproach could be formulated to-day, I think that it should be raised, not against geometry but against analysis. The circle in which mathematical studies seemed bound at the beginning of the nineteenth century has been broken on all sides. The old problems present themselves to us under an altered form. New problems arise studied by legions of workers. The number of those who cultivate pure geometry has become very limited. This is a danger against which it is of some importance to guard. Do not let us forget that while analysis has acquired means of investigation which formerly it lacked, nevertheless it owes those means largely to the concepts introduced by the geometricians. Geometry must not remain, as it were, shrouded in its own triumph. It was in the school of geometry that we have learned, and there our successors will have to learn it, never blindly to trust to too general methods, but to consider each question on its own merits, to find in the particular conditions of each problem either a direct way toward a simple solution or the means to apply in an appropriate manner those general methods which every science should collect. Thus, as Chasles says in the beginning of the Aperçu historique: "The doctrines of pure geometry often, and in many questions, give a simple and natural way to penetrate to the origin of truths, to lay bare the mysterious chain which

unites them, and to make them known individually, luminously and completely." Therefore let us cultivate geometry which has its own advantages, and this without wishing to make it equal in all points to its rival. Besides, if we should be tempted to neglect it, it would soon find, as it once has done, in the applications of mathematics the means of reviving and developing itself anew. It is like the giant Antæus, who regained his strength whenever he touched his mother earth.

NOTE ON FERMAT'S NUMBERS.

BY DR. J. C. MOREHEAD.

(Read before the American Mathematical Society, April 29, 1905.)

How many primes are contained in the form

$$F_n = 2^{2^n} + 1$$

is a famous question in the theory of numbers. Fermat showed that $F_0, F_1, \cdots, F_4$ are primes, and stated that he believed F_n to be prime for every value of n. This statement of Fermat was generally accepted as correct until Euler showed in 1732 that F_5 has the factor 641. In the period 1878–1903 factors were found of eight other Fermat numbers, viz.,

$$F_6, \quad F_9, \quad F_{11}, \quad F_{12}, \quad F_{18}, \quad F_{23}, \quad F_{36}, \quad F_{38}.$$

In the present note we add to the list the tenth composite case, F_7, identified by applying Pepin's criterion:* "The necessary and sufficient condition that F_n be prime is

$$P_n = \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}(F_n-1)} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{F_n},$$

α being any quadratic non-residue of F_n." Taking the non-residue $\alpha = 3$, I have found by a lengthy computation just completed that

* *Comptes rendus*, vol. 85 (1878), p. 329.

$$P_7 \equiv 110\ 780\ 954\ 395\ 540\ 516\ 579\ 111\ 562\ 860\ 048\ 860\ 421 \mod F_7.$$

Hence F_7 is necessarily composite.*

This result is of especial interest and importance in the theory of Fermat's numbers, as the following considerations will make clear. Euler showed that the factors of F_n are of the form $2^{n+1}q + 1$, which led to his factoring F_5; and Lucas showed that the factors must have the form

$$Q_n = 2^{n+2}q + 1.$$

Now $F_0, \cdots, F_3$ are primes for the sufficient reason that $Q_n > \sqrt{F_n}$; and 193 is the only prime $Q_4 < \sqrt{F_4}$. But as soon as Q_n has any range of values, we have $F_5, F_6, \cdots$ composite. Thus the fact that $F_0, \cdots, F_4$ are primes gives no ground for inferring that there are other primes of the form F_n. No one has proved the existence of a single prime F_n after F_4. This was the main feature of interest in the result of the computation I carried out for F_7 — there was the possibility that F_7 would turn out to be a prime. Besides, on account of the increasing difficulty of applying any known necessary and sufficient criterion to the higher Fermat's numbers, F_7 was looked upon as the last chance for determining a Fermat prime, — at least until essentially different criteria, or more powerful methods of computation are devised. A similar computation for F_8, if practicable at all, would involve at least eight times the labor of the computation for F_7.

The number F_6 was first shown to be composite by a method† somewhat similar to that which I have now applied to F_7. But all known factors of F_n were obtained by the direct method of testing as possible factors primes of the form Q_n. I have devised a means of investigating these possible factors Q_n, based

* On page 13 of Klein's Vorträge über ausgewählte Fragen der Elementargeometrie it is asserted that F_7 is composite. It is highly probable that this is a misprint, as a careful search through the entire literature on this subject has failed to reveal any other reference to this fact. In particular, cf. Hermes' paper, presented by Klein himself, in *Gött. Nachrichten*, 1894, p. 170; Lucas, Récréations Mathématiques, II (1896), pp 234, 235; Bachmann, Encyk. der Math. Wiss., I, p. 577; Cunningham and Western, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2), vol. I (1904), p. 175.

† Lucas. Récréations Mathématiques, II, pp. 234, 235; *Amer. Journ. of Math.*, vol. I (1878), p. 238; *Comptes rendus*, vol. 85 (1878), p. 138.

on an extensive table of values of a pair of numerical functions. Although the table is only partially completed, I have shown by this method that, aside from those already known, there are no factors under 524,288,000 of any F_n, $n > 16$, as against the limit 100,000,000 given by Cunningham and Western.* Incidentally, this method shows four errors in a list of forty-five numbers of the form Q_n studied by Seelhoff.†

NEW HAVEN, CONN.,
June 15, 1905.

SIMPLY TRANSITIVE PRIMITIVE GROUPS WHICH ARE SIMPLE GROUPS.

BY PROFESSOR H. L. RIETZ.

(Read before the Chicago Section of the American Mathematical Society, April 22, 1905).

IN the papers published by several writers ‡ relating to the existence of simple groups of odd composite order, much use is made of the fact that, if such a group existed, it could be represented as a simply transitive primitive substitution group. But this work has thus far led to no simple groups.

In working on this problem, it appeared well to examine some simply transitive primitive groups which are simple groups of even order, but upon examining the literature of primitive groups with a view to finding groups of this type, I find only two such groups. It therefore seems worth while to call attention to a system of groups of this type. This will be done by proving the following theorem:

There is a simple group G of composite order corresponding to every prime number $p > 11$ (where $p = 2q + 1$, q being a prime number) which can be represented as a simply transitive primitive group of degree

$$1 + \kappa p \quad \left(\frac{(p-2)! - 1}{p} \equiv \kappa > 1 \right).$$

---

* *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2), vol. 1 (1904), p. 175.

†*Zeitschrift für Math. u. Phys.*, vol. 31, p. 380. The present range of my table covers only eighteen numbers of Seelhoff's list.

‡ Miller, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, vol. 33, pp. 6–10. Burnside, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, vol. 33, pp. 162–185. Rietz, *Amer. Journ. Math.*, vol. 26, pp. 1–30.

Select G as a primitive group of degree p containing more than one subgroup of order p, and such that its order $g \leqq g_1$, where g_1 is the order of any other primitive group of degree p which contains more than one subgroup of order p. When G is thus selected, it is easily shown to be a simple group. Any subgroup P of order p contained in G is transformed into itself by pq operations, and by Sylow's theorem

$$g = pq(1 + \kappa p).$$

By another theorem due to Sylow, $\kappa > 1$ (*Videnskabs-Selskabets Skriften*, 1897, No. 9). The theorem referred to states that, when $p > 11$, there is no primitive group of degree p of order

$$\frac{p(p-1)(p+1)}{2}.$$

The pq substitutions which transform P into itself form a maximal subgroup of G on account of the restriction on the order of G. With reference to this subgroup, G could then be represented as a primitive group of degree $1 + \kappa p$. Denote this representation by G'. It remains to show that G' is simply transitive. This will be done by showing that its subgroup G_1' leaving a given letter fixed is intransitive. If G_1' were transitive, its degree κp would be an exact divisor of its order pq. Hence $\kappa p = pq$, since $\kappa > 1$. G_1' would then be a regular group, and G' would contain in addition to the identical operation only substitutions of degrees $1 + \kappa p$ and κp. But Frobenius has proved * that a transitive group of degree n and class $n - 1$ contains an invariant subgroup made up of its substitutions of degree n and identity. Hence G' would contain an invariant subgroup of order $1 + \kappa p$. But this would be contrary to the hypothesis. Hence G' is a simply transitive primitive representation of the group. The upper limit of κ is at once fixed from the way in which G is selected.

THE UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS.

* *Berliner Sitzungsberichte*, 1901, p. 1226.

REMARKS CONCERNING THE VARIATION OF THE LENGTH OF A CURVE.

BY PROFESSOR T. J. I'A. BROMWICH.

(Extract from a letter to Professor Oskar Bolza.)

In reading through your treatment* of the shortest curve on a given surface it occurred to me that you might like to see the following investigation of the (weak) variation of the length of a curve on a surface:

As usual

$$\delta \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \sqrt{x'^2 + y'^2 + z'^2}\, dt = \left[\frac{x'}{s'} \delta x + \frac{y'}{s'} \delta y + \frac{z'}{s'} \delta z \right]_{t_0}^{t_1} - \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \Sigma \left[\delta x \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{x'}{s'} \right) \right] dt,$$

and the last integral may be written

$$\int_{s_0}^{s_1} \Sigma \left(\delta x \frac{d^2 x}{ds^2} \right) ds$$

(from which of course your equations (32) page 130 follow). Now if κ is the curvature of the curve, and if l, m, n are the direction cosines of its principal normal,

$$\frac{d^2 x}{ds^2} = \kappa l, \text{ etc.}$$

Thus the last expression is equal to

$$\int_{s_0}^{s_1} \delta\nu \cdot \kappa \cos\, \theta \cdot ds,$$

where $\delta\nu$ is the component of δx, δy, δz resolved in a direction † in the tangent plane perpendicular to the tangent to the curve, while θ is the angle between the direction of $\delta\nu$ and the principal normal. Now by the elementary theory of geodesic curva-

* Bolza, Lectures on the Calculus of Variations, pp. 128–130.

† The vector $\delta\nu$ must be drawn to the left of the positive direction of the tangent, as we look down upon the tangent plane from the positive direction of the normal to the surface.

ture, $\kappa \cos\theta$ is κ_g, the geodesic curvature of the curve. Thus *

$$(1) \qquad \delta \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \sqrt{x'^2 + y'^2 + z'^2}\, dt = \delta\tau_1 - \delta\tau_0 - \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \delta v \cdot \kappa_g \cdot ds$$

if $\delta\tau_1$, $\delta\tau_0$ are the components of δx, δy, δz in the directions of the tangents at the ends of the curve.

The above process gives a very simple treatment of your investigation in the *Mathematische Annalen*, volume 57, page 48. For, with a closed curve, the variation of the area is evidently $\int \delta v \cdot ds$; and so by Euler's rule we get

$$\int \delta v (1 - \lambda \cdot \kappa_g) ds = 0,$$

or

$$\kappa_g = 1/\lambda.$$

Incidentally, it would appear that the calculus of variations is almost the easiest way of finding the value of κ_g in terms of Gauss's coördinates E, F, G, u, v, by comparing the formula (1) above with the result of varying

$$\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \sqrt{Eu'^2 + 2Fu'v' + Gv'^2}\, dt.$$

QUEEN'S COLLEGE, GALWAY, IRELAND.
February 23, 1905.

QUATERNIONS.

A Manual of Quaternions. By CHARLES JASPER JOLY. London, Macmillan and Co., 1905. 8vo. xxvii + 320 pages.

A QUATERNION, including a scalar and a vector as particular quaternions, is a number. This incontestable fact has not always been remembered in the discussions relating to quaternions, vector analysis, and related topics. The familiar history of the discovery and development of quaternions shows that Hamilton always kept this fact in mind, and never permitted the double use of the word *vector* to indicate a particular (right) quaternion, and its geometric representation, to confuse his

* The formula (1) is given in Darboux's Théorie des Surfaces, vol. 3, p. 105, but is there derived by entirely different methods.

ideas. He extended our number-system into the broader domain of linear associative algebra, and gave us the only extension in which division remains possible, and the first known form of the long roll of quaternionic algebras. The dust raised by contending parties over the question of what system of algebraic symbols and methods would be most useful in applied mathematics has sometimes obscured the fundamental facts in the case of quaternions. In the opinion of the reviewer this is due to the efforts to establish the practical utility of various algorithms, or to establish priority claims, at the expense of scientific development. But all this aside, the fact remains that real quaternions is the next extension of algebra beyond ordinary complex numbers. The field which will be developed in the coming years, as the field of the theory of functions of a complex variable has been in the century just closed, is that of the functions of quaternions.

The mantle of Hamilton passed to Tait, and from him to Joly. Thus it happens, as the author remarks in his preface: "By a curious series of events one of Hamilton's successors at the Observatory of Trinity College has felt himself obliged to endeavor to carry out to the best of his ability Hamilton's original intention. And on the centenary of Hamilton's birth a Manual of Quaternions is offered to the mathematical world." And (be it said with all due respect to the late Professor Tait) the volume seems to be the long-expected one, which will open successfully this, to many, forbidding land. It is essentially a manual, containing in small compass a wealth of results not excelled in range even by Hamilton's or Tait's Elements. For many rather inaccessible memoirs have been drawn upon for processes, formulas, applications, proofs, and suggestions not to be found in either Hamilton or Tait. The whole is arranged for ready reference, with an analytic table of contents. It might seem that even the exercises should have been well indexed, as they are full of new matter. A point for commendation is the absence of controversial or metaphysical discussion. If one might suggest a chapter which would not have occupied many pages, yet would have been valuable, it would be one on the analytic theory; including the characteristic equation, the roots, axes, the analytic function, number theory, group theory, with extensions to nonions in the chapters on the linear vector function. A development of this kind directly from the quadratic equation is feasible and teachable, and prevents over-emphasis of the geometric interpretation.

As a matter of expediency, in presentation, Professor Joly begins with the vector, as a geometric entity. He defines $S.\alpha\beta$ and $V.\alpha\beta$, then the product $\alpha\beta$ is defined as the sum of $S.\alpha\beta$ and $V.\alpha\beta$. This product is a *quaternion*. The distributive, associative, and non-commutative laws follow at once. Much fruitless discussion on what a *product* is, has simply made plain that a product is what one chooses to make it. There is certainly no inherent reason why $\alpha\beta$ should be the sum of a scalar and a vector any more than there is why it should be a parallelogram. It might be neither. Those who prefer to call any bilinear combination of the coördinates of α and β a product, in particular — $S.\alpha\beta$ the direct product, $V.\alpha\beta$ the skew product, abandon what Hamilton always kept in mind as an algebraist — the flexible associative law. (For $S.\alpha S\beta\gamma$ vanishes completely and $V.\alpha V\beta\gamma$ is not equal to $V.(V\alpha\beta)\gamma$.) If the associative law is to be retained, we must accept quaternions as it is (or in some "equivalent" form), and $\alpha\beta$ is *the* product. Professor Joly wisely does not even refer to these discussions. He proceeds to define the symbols K, T, V, and develops the usual formulas. This development includes the rotator $q(\ )q^{-1}$, and some mention of imaginary and complex quaternions.

Chapters V and VI apply quaternions to the straight line, the plane, and the sphere. Many developments given by Hamilton appear in brief. The complex, the congruence, and the regulus are noticed; homogeneous coördinates appear in various forms; inversion is sketched, and the common theorems of solid geometry appear.

Chapter VII defines differentiation and the famous operator ∇. The definition given for this operator is especially useful:

$$\nabla = -\frac{V.d'\rho d''\rho\,.\,d(\ ) + V.d''\rho d\rho\,.\,d'(\ ) + V.d\rho d'\rho\,.\,d''(\ )}{S.d\rho d'\rho d''\rho},$$

in which $d\rho$, $d'\rho$, and $d''\rho$ are any three non-coplanar differentials of ρ, and d, d', d'' are corresponding variations of the operand in these three directions. From this the "canonical" form

$$\nabla = i\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + j\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + k\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

is deduced. The "integral" definition of ∇ is also given:

$$\nabla.F\rho = \text{Lim}.\frac{1}{v}\int d\nu F\rho,$$

where dv is an outwardly directed element of vector area of any small closed surface surrounding the extremity of ρ, v is the volume enclosed by the surface, and $F\rho$ is any quaternion function. The geometric meaning and some mechanical meanings of ∇ are evident. Taylor's series and stationary values of a function are discussed. The examples include problems on roulettes and relative motion.

Chapter VIII treats of the linear vector function. Well-known formulas are developed, but in addition we find a consideration of the products of two functions, with geometric applications of their invariants and covariants. Professor Joly might have included even more of his own investigations with profit.*

The gaussian operator, $cq(\ )q^{-1}$, is introduced in an example. It may be remarked that neither these operators nor any linear vector functions can take the place of the quaternion, even though their multiplication table be isomorphic with that of quaternions. In fact, the exact statement of Cayley's identification of quaternions and matrices of order two, is that every matrix is isomorphic with a quadrate algebra. Another example suggests Hamilton's icosian calculus, and might have been used to introduce groups of quaternions.

Chapters IX and X discuss quadric surfaces, and curves and surfaces in general. The transformations and ideas of Hamilton are closely followed. Much differential geometry appears. The emanant line is used, and vector curvature, vector torsion, vector twist are discussed. Ruled surfaces, curvatures, geodesics, and families of surfaces follow. Many theorems appear in the examples.

Chapters XI, XII, and XIII treat of statics, finite displacements, and strain. The reduction of wrenches on a screw is amply explained, including compounding of wrenches on two and three screws, and resolution on six screws. Astatics is briefly developed. The notes in Volume 2 of Joly's Hamilton's Elements develop these subjects more extensively. A displacement is treated as a *twist about a screw*, velocity as a *twist-velocity*. The principles of the theory of the fixed and the moving axes follow. Homogeneous strain is developed along

* "Theory of linear vector functions," *Dublin Trans.* 30 (1894), pp. 597–647. "Scalar invariants of two linear vector functions," *Dublin Trans.* 30 (1895), pp. 709–728. "Quaternion invariants of linear vector functions and quaternion determinants," *Dublin Proc.* 4 (1896), pp. 1–16.

lines made familiar by Tait, and the closing sections touch upon non-homogeneous strain.

Chapters XIV and XV are on dynamics. The equations of motion of a particle under different forces are presented; as damped vibrations, central forces, constrained motion, and brachistochrones. The dynamics of a rigid body and the theory of screws follows at some length.

Chapter XVI is devoted to the applications of the differentiator ∇. The invariants of ϕ, where

$$\phi a = -S a \nabla . \sigma,$$

are developed. Of these invariants

$$m'' = -S\nabla\sigma = \text{divergence}, \quad 2\epsilon = V\nabla\sigma = \text{curl},$$

and if $\sigma = iu + jv + kw$,

$$m = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} \end{vmatrix} = \text{Jacobian}.$$

Integrations involving singularities are handled briefly, followed by various expressions useful in physics. These include ∇^{-1}, ∇^{-2}, spherical harmonics, expansion of functions, and various expressions for ∇ and ∇^2. The kinematics of a deformable system, including equations of continuity, flow along a curve, circulation for a closed curve, flux through a surface, irrotational and solenoidal vectors, have ample space. The equations of motion of a deformable system, stress function for viscous fluid and isotropic solid, dissipation function, elastic solid with plane waves in elastic solids, are skilfully handled. The Maxwell electromagnetic theory is given, and includes circuital laws, impressed electric and magnetic forces, and propagation of light in a crystalline medium. If any addition is to be desired to this excellent chapter, it might be a brief treatment of the electron theory.

Chapters XVII and XVIII apply quaternions to projective geometry and extend quaternions into alternate algebras of higher orders, applicable to space of n dimensions. The pro-

jective application is made by affixing to a point of mass w and vector ρ (origin arbitrary) the quaternion $q = w(1 + \rho)$. A unit point is then $Q = 1 + \rho$. The origin is the scalar point $\theta = 1$. A vector is the affix of the point at infinity in its direction. Following Hamilton's rather awkward notation, Professor Joly defines:

$$(a, b) = bSa - aSb, \quad [a, b] = V.VaVb,$$

$$(a, b, c) = S.VaVbVc,$$

$$[a, b, c] = (a, b, c) - [b, c]Sa - [c, a]Sb - [a, b]Sc,$$

$$(a, b, c, d) = S.a[b, c, d].$$

For unit points, we have

$$(A, B) = B - A = \beta - a,$$

$$[A, B] = V.VAVB = V.a\beta = V.a(\beta - \alpha),$$

$$[A, B, C] = Sa\beta\gamma - V\beta\gamma - V\gamma a - Va\beta, \quad (A, B, C) = S.a\beta\gamma,$$

$$(ABCD) = S\beta\gamma\delta - Sa\gamma\delta + Sa\beta\delta - Sa\beta\gamma.$$

The line ab is $[q, a, b] = 0$; the plane a, b, c, is $(q, a, b, c) = 0$. Other alternating functions are considered. The general linear transformation of points in space leading to a linear quaternion operator is treated at length. Projective geometry finds here a simple and natural statement. The theorems include reciprocity theorems, poles and polars, invariants of surfaces, the quaternion form of Aronhold's symbolic notation, quadrics under different relations, generalized confocals, general curves and surfaces, jacobians and hessians.

The last chapter presents the principles of a general algebra for hyperspace.* In this algebra we define

$$a\beta = V_2a\beta + V_0a\beta, \quad \beta a = -V_2a\beta + V_0a\beta.$$

$V_0\alpha\beta$ corresponds to the scalar of three dimensions, V_2 to the vector. The n units being $i_1, \cdots, i_n$ we have

$$i_s^2 = -1, \quad i_t^2 = -1, \quad i_si_t + i_ti_s = 0, \quad s, t = 1, \cdots, n.$$

All products are associative and doubly distributive. Thus, if

*Cf. JOLY: "The associative algebra applicable to hyperspace," *Dublin Proc.* 21 (1898) [(3) vol. 5], pp. 73-123. "On the place of the Ausdehnungslehre in the general associative algebra of the quaternion type," *Dublin Proc.* 22 (1900) [(3) vol. 6], pp. 13-18.

$$a = \Sigma x_1 i_1, \quad \beta = \Sigma y_1 i_1, \quad \gamma = \Sigma z_1 i_1,$$

$$a\beta\gamma = V_3 . a\beta\gamma + V_1 a\beta\gamma, \quad V_3 a\beta\gamma = \Sigma \left| \begin{matrix} x_1 y_2 z_3 \end{matrix} \right| i_1 i_2 i_3,$$

$$V_1 a\beta\gamma = - \Sigma y_1 z_1 \Sigma x_1 i_1 + \Sigma x_1 z_1 \Sigma y_1 i_1 - \Sigma x_1 y_1 \Sigma z_1 i_1,$$

$$a\beta\gamma\delta = V_4 a\beta\gamma\delta + V_2 a\beta\gamma\delta + V_0 a\beta\gamma\delta,$$

and so on for higher products. The different "products" of the Ausdehnungslehre appear at once in their proper places as partial products among all the partial products of one *associative* product. This algebra is of order $2n$, and, if $n = 2m$, is the product of m independent quaternion algebras, if $n = 2m + 1$, is the product of m independent quaternion algebras and the algebra of positives and negatives. Division is possible under much the same restrictions as in a Weierstrass commutative algebra. We also see that the multiple algebra of n-dimensional space is not necessarily a theory of matrices of order n.

The volume is what its author intended it to be, a handy manual for those who desire to learn quaternions and quaternion methods. The appearance of the book is pleasing, and to the reviewer it seems that the simple notation of Hamilton can scarcely be called improved when one views a page full of heavy type, artificial signs, and foreign alphabets. We believe a letter is superior to an arbitrary mark for indicating a process, and indices clearer than fonts of type and sets of alphabets. Professor Joly's text speaks for itself. The book appeals, finally, to the pure mathematician as well as to the physicist.

JAMES BYRNIE SHAW.

SHORTER NOTICES.

Geschichte der Mathematik in XVI. und XVII. Jahrhundert. By H. G. ZEUTHEN, Deutsche Ausgabe von RAPHAEL MEYER. *Abhandlungen zur Geschichte der mathematischen Wissenschaften*, XVII. Heft. Leipzig, B. G. Teubner, 1903. Pp. viii + 434. Price 16 Marks.

AT first thought it may seem strange that Professor Zeuthen should attempt to go over the same ground so recently covered by Cantor in the latter part of volume II and the first part of volume III of the latter's Vorlesungen. A little investigation

will show, however, that the field was by no means completely covered by Cantor, and therefore any further contribution to the history of the two most important centuries in the development of modern mathematics must be welcome. No one treatise will ever completely tell the story of the solutions of the general cubic and quartic, of the struggles for recognition of the modern symbolism, of the renaissance of the theory of numbers, of the birth of the analytic geometry, and of the steps leading to the invention of the fluxional and differential forms of the calculus. The field is so extensive that a single writer can hope only to add a little here and there to Cantor, to rearrange and clarify his work, or to give more intensive study to a few details.

Professor Zeuthen's idea is to prepare a work that sets forth the formative period of modern mathematics in such a way that the book may be read by itself, without reference to preceding volumes. This is in harmony with his plans as developed in his other works, although he confesses what is manifest to anyone, that it is imposible to carry it out satisfactorily. It has also been his intention to prepare a book for mathematicans rather than historians, and so there is little attention given to the setting of his facts in relation to the general progress of the race. Mathematical causes, not national development, or humanitarian movements, or the influences of the Renaissance, are made the sole points of departure in the study of mathematical discovery.

That the secondary material has been carefully digested goes without saying, with all who know Professor Zeuthen's earlier writings. That a great many original sources have been examined is probable. It is, however, exceedingly unfortunate that all important sources are left specifically unmentioned. Students who consult a book like this desire, in most cases, to carry their investigations further; they wish a bibliography that is fairly complete in important details; they wish to verify an author's interpretation by referring to the source; but in this particular the work under review offers not the slightest assistance. It therefore fails of what should be one of its most worthy purposes.

The treatise opens with an historical and biographical survey of eighty pages, in which each of the writers whose works are to be discussed is treated with great brevity and without a very careful adjustment of space to the man's relative importance.

For example, Widmann (who spelled his name Widman) is twice mentioned approvingly, although he was of the fifteenth century, while Paciuoli (more properly, if we may trust Staigmüller, Paciuolo), whose works extend into the sixteenth century, fares not even as well as this. And yet Paciuolo was one of the most influential of the early cossic writers, not to speak of his presentation of elementary geometry. Why, too, if Riese deserves a place, should not Köbel, and Trenchant, and a dozen other arithmeticians be named? If Euclid is to be referred to more than twenty times, why should the influence of Nicomachus and Boethius be wholly ignored? There were several editions of the latter's arithmetic in the sixteenth century, and this work had a noteworthy influence in the development of the theory of numbers. And if Euclid is worthy of such attention why should the interesting controversy between Buteo and Finæus on the quadrature problem be wholly neglected? Neither of these men is mentioned, although each was influential and the latter was a rather prolific writer. Of course the reply is that these men are primarily arithmeticians, even though they wrote on other mathematical subjects. But what about Scheubel? He was primarily an algebraist, and a noteworthy one in some respects. And why should the great influence of the fifteenth century Peuerbach be entirely ignored if his pupil Regiomontanus is to have prominent place? Since some discussion is given to figurate numbers, why should a work like that of Schonerus (De Numeris figuratis Lazari Schoneri liber, Frankfort, 1586) be unmentioned, and, on the numerical side, why should such a classical treatise as that of Tonstall not deserve a passing reference? Indeed, the more one looks over the list of names in the historical introduction, the more convinced he becomes that Professor Zeuthen has neither judiciously selected in the field of minor contributors, nor attempted a complete account. The second part of the work, Die Analyse des Endlichen, is somewhat more satisfactory. This part begins with the algebraic solution of the cubic and quartic equations, contributing nothing new, however, to this interesting chapter on algebra. It considers in a rather superficial way the symbolism of the subject. Here again a lack of completeness is apparent at once. A reader might naturally infer that the exponential symbols of Stevin, Bombelli, Bürgi, and Chuquet practically exhausted the list, and that $R\,2$ and $\sqrt{2}$ were the only signs for the square root, and $\sqrt{c}$ and $\sqrt{(3)}$ for cube root.

Cardan's symbols, and Scheubel's, and the interesting use of $L2$ for $\sqrt{2}$ and $2\ L$ for $2\ x$, by Ramus, and various other curious and common forms, are unmentioned. Indeed, the work offers but little help in reading the older authors, and the chapter must accordingly be considered disappointing.

This topic is followed by a review of the general theory of algebraic equations, trigonometry in relation to algebra, numerical computation before the invention of logarithms, the invention of the latter, the number theory before and during the time of Fermat, the theory of combinations and probability, and the projective and analytic geometry of the seventeenth century.

The third and most important section of the work, and that for which it will probably be chiefly consulted, relates to the invention of the calculus. Professor Zeuthen rightly sets forth the important bearing of mechanics upon the early steps in this theory. He shows that applied mathematics demand some form of integration, and that in the efforts of Kepler, Cavalieri, Fermat, Pascal, Wallis, and others, must be sought the first evidences of the modern integral calculus. He then shows that the study of tangents before Newton's time demanded an approach to differentiation, as seen in the labors of Torricelli, Roberval, Descartes, Hudde, Fermat, Huygens, and de Sluse, and, he might well have added, Barrow.

The work closes with a critical study of the respective merits of Newton and Leibnitz in the invention of the calculus, adding nothing that is new to the long drawn out controversy, but agreeing with the general view of the present day as to the priority of the former and the independent work of the latter.

In spite of the incompleteness of various portions of the work, and in spite of the absence of all bibliographical aids to the student, Professor Zeuthen's work will justly rank as a worthy contribution to the history of the two most interesting centuries in the historical development of mathematics.

DAVID EUGENE SMITH.

Introduction à la Théorie des Fonctions d'une Variable. Tome I. By JULES TANNERY. Paris, Hermann, 1904. ix + 419 pp.

THE excellence of Tannery's book of 1886 as an introduction to the theory of functions of a real variable is so generally conceded that it seems sufficient in a notice of the revised edition to point out clearly that the new work in two large volumes is virtually a new treatise, constructed on broader and

more ambitious lines, but still possessing the simplicity, clearness, and inimitable style of Tannery's earlier writings. The new work will doubtless at once supersede the earlier.

The present first volume treats in order the subjects irrational numbers, arithmetical continued fractions, infinite assemblages (ensembles), their upper and lower bounds and their points of condensation, infinite sequences, limits, denumerable aggregates, infinite series and products (covering a hundred pages of the text), general functions, polynomials, rational functions, uniform convergence, exponential and trigonometric functions, calculus of derivatives, study of functions by means of their derivatives, Weierstrass's example of a continuous function not possessing a derivative. It will be observed that the present volume contains practically all the subject matter of the first edition, except the subject of definite integrals. The latter, together with the theory of functions of a complex variable, will be treated in the second volume.

There is a marked contrast between the methods of presenting the fundamental concepts in the present and earlier books. The theory of infinite aggregates is now in the foreground from the outset. It is only after the notions of bounds and points of condensation have been gained that the reader is introduced to sequences and limits. As relating directly to the theory of aggregates, irrational numbers are now considered exclusively from the point of view of Dedekind. In the earlier book, this treatment entered parenthetically (pages 10–24) as a method alternative to that in which an irrational number is defined by a convergent sequence.

There have been writers who took pride in the fact that no geometric diagrams occurred in their books. With regard to the contrast in this respect between his earlier and present books, Tannery takes the public into his confidence in the following words: "Si, dans la première édition, je me suis abstenu de tout langage et de toute figure géométriques, c'est que, dans les limites que je m'étais imposées, l'emploi de ce langage ou de ces figures n'aurait guère simplifié les raissonnements, c'est aussi à cause d'une certaine timidité; j'avais peur que le lecteur ne fût pas bien persuadé, s'il voyait une figure, que cette figure n'était qu'une aide et ne cachait point quelque trou, impossible à combler avec les seules ressources de la logique, et, peut-être aussi, avais-je besoin de fortifier en moi-même la conviction que je désirais imprimer dans son esprit.

Aujourd'hui, les craintes de cette sorte me semblent un peu puériles; j'ai d'ailleurs l' intention, pour ce qui concerne les éléments de la théorie des functions d'une variable complexe, tout en restant dans le domaine du nombre, de profiter des facilités qu'offre le langage géométrique."

While a studious avoidance of geometric language, lest geometric intuitions run rampant, does not seem necessary in attaining purity of logic in analysis, the user of such phraseology must be able to say with Sophus Lie, "Ich kenne meine Leute."

The pages seem to be as free from errata as they are attractive in appearance. In 2° of page 66, M should read M'. The steps in Tannery's arguments are nearly always very obvious. If (E) is an assemblage with an upper and lower bound, and (E') is its derived assemblage, it is stated in No. 83 that the assemblage $(E)+(E')$ is closed. This becomes evident to the reader after he has the theorem of No. 85 that (E') is closed if it is infinite.

It is interesting to note that the appearance of this valuable treatise was simultaneous with the announcement of M. Tannery's appointment to the professorship of calculus in the faculty of science at the University of Paris.

L. E. DICKSON.

ERRATA.

The following errata in the present and the preceding volume of the BULLETIN have come to the attention of the editors:

Volume 10.

On page 222 the number of papers read before the Society in 1903 should have been reported as 148.

Volume 11.

Page 213, line 22, *for* all *read* and.
Page 477, lines 20, 27, *for* σ *read* 0.

NOTES.

THE San Francisco Section of the AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY will hold its eighth regular meeting at the University of California, on September 30.

AT the meeting of the London mathematical society held on May 11, 1905, the following papers were read: by Mr. J. A. H. JOHNSTON, "On the intersection of two conic sections"; by Mr. H. G. DAWSON, "On a system of conics yielding operators which annihilate a cubic, and its bearing on the reduction of the cubic to the sum of four cubes"; by Lieut. Col. A. CUNNINGHAM, "Higher Pellian factorizations."

THE Royal academy of sciences of Denmark announces the following problem in mathematics, for the best solution of which it offers the academy's gold medal, valued at 320 crowns:

To indicate a rule of multiplication applicable to the numerals mentioned by T. N. Thiele (Memoirs of the Royal Academy of Sciences and Arts of Denmark, series 6, II, volume 11 (1886), page 508), and by means of which the product as well as the sum can be obtained, presenting the same three-dimensional form that characterizes the factors. Then examine whether the principal theorems of multiplication and division are all satisfied. It is also desired to examine whether the numerals are susceptible of a geometric interpretation.

Competing memoirs may be written in Danish, Swedish, German, French, English or Latin, and sent under the usual conditions to the secretary, Professor H. G. Zeuthen, University of Copenhagen, before October 31, 1906.

THE Royal academy of sciences of Turin will award the Bressa prize to the person "who, in the opinion of the academy, shall have contributed during the interval 1903–1906 the most important or most useful discovery or written the most celebrated book, in the field of physical or experimental sciences, natural history, pure and applied mathematics, chemistry, physiology, pathology, not excluding geology, history, geography or statistics." Competing books or memoirs must be received by the president of the academy before December 31, 1906. Manuscripts will not be considered. The prize has a money value of 9600 lire.

THE various American universities offer advanced courses in mathematics for the year 1905–1906 as follows:

BROWN UNIVERSITY. — By Professor H. P. MANNING: Elementary differential equations, three hours; Advanced differential equations, three hours; Quaternions and allied subjects, three hours.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO. — By Professor E. H. MOORE: Selected chapters in the theory of functions of a real variable, five hours; Seminar, two hours. — By Professor O. BOLZA: Theory of invariants (spring), five hours; Theory of functions of a complex variable, five hours. — By Professor H. MASCHKE: Differential geometry, five hours; Advanced calculus (summer), five hours. — By Professor H. E. SLAUGHT: Definite and elliptic integrals, three hours. — By Professor J. W. A. YOUNG: Critical review of secondary mathematics, three hours. — By Professor L. E. DICKSON: Theory of numbers (summer), five hours: Algebraic numbers and forms, five hours. — By Dr. A. C. LUNN: Theory of potential (winter), three hours; Differential equations, five hours.

JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY. — By Professor F. MORLEY: Higher geometry, two hours; Vector analysis (first half year), two hours; Theory of functions (second half year), two hours; Classic authors, one hour. — By Dr. A. COHEN: Elementary theory of functions, two hours; Calculus of variations, two hours; Differential equations of mechanics, two hours. — By Dr. A. B. COBLE: Theory of finite groups, two hours. — By Dr. F. FRANKLIN: Theory of probabilities (winter), two hours.

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA. — By Professor J. F. DOWNEY: Advanced calculus; Curve tracing. — By Dr. G. N. BAUER: Solid analytic geometry; Theory of surfaces. — By Dr. E. J. MANCHESTER: Differential equations; Theory of functions of a complex variable. Each course is given three hours a week.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA. — By Professor W. H. ECHOLS: Solid analytic geometry (fall), three hours; Advanced calculus (winter and spring), three hours; Theory of functions (fall and winter), three hours; Kinematic geometry (spring), three hours. — By Professor J. M. PAGE: Introduction to the theory of transformation groups (fall), three hours; Linear partial differential equations (winter and spring), three hours; Analytic geometry of surfaces and twisted curves, three hours.

PROFESSOR S. ZAREMBA, of the University at Cracow, has been promoted to a full professorship of mathematics.

DR. M. DEHN, of the University of Münster, will lecture at the University of Kiel until Professor Stäckel's successor is appointed.

DR. L. HAHN has been appointed docent in mathematics at the University of Vienna.

THE Royal Society of London has elected Professor H. A. LORENTZ, of the University of Leyden, a corresponding member. Mr. J. E. CAMPBELL, of Oxford, and Mr. E. T. WHITTAKER, of Cambridge, have been elected fellows of the society.

DR. H. VAN DER VYVER has been appointed adjunct professor of mathematical geography at the University of Ghent.

MR. G. B. MATHEWS has resigned his lectureship in St. John's College, Cambridge.

MR. ERNEST BROWN, lecturer in mechanics at the University of Liverpool, has been appointed assistant professor of the same subject at McGill University.

THE honorary degree of doctor of laws was conferred upon Professor D. E. SMITH, of Columbia University, at the recent commencement of Syracuse University.

PROFESSOR H. S. WHITE, of Northwestern University, has been appointed professor of mathematics at Vassar College.

DR. WILLIAM FINDLAY, of Columbia University, has been appointed professor of mathematics at McMaster University, Toronto, Canada, succeeding Professor A. C. MCKAY who has been appointed chancellor of the university and professor of physics.

PROFESSOR G. A. BLISS, of the University of Missouri, has been appointed assistant professor of mathematics at Princeton University.

DR. E. V. HUNTINGTON, of Harvard University, has been promoted to an assistant professorship of mathematics.

DR. A. S. GALE, of Yale University, has been appointed assistant professor in charge of the department of mathematics at the University of Rochester.

DR. W. B. FITE, of Cornell University, has been promoted to an assistant professorship in mathematics.

A NEW assistant professorship in mathematics has been established in the University of Washington and filled by the appointment of Professor F. M. MORRISON, of Buchtel College, Akron, O.

DR. W. H. BUSSEY, of Evanston, Ill., has been appointed to a tutorship in mathematics in Columbia University.

DR. W. D. A. WESTFALL has been appointed instructor in mathematics at the University of Missouri.

DR. W. B. FORD, of Williams College, has been appointed instructor in mathematics at the University of Michigan.

PROFESSOR H. TABER, of Clark University, has been granted a leave of absence, and will spend next year at European universities.

PROFESSOR H. F. BLICHFELDT, of Stanford University, has been granted leave of absence for next year, and will spend the time at European universities.

PROFESSOR W. D. CAIRNS, of Oberlin College, has been granted a leave of absence. He will spend next year at Göttingen.

MR. O. E. GLENN has been appointed acting assistant professor of mathematics at Drury College, Springfield, Missouri, for the year 1905–06.

LIEUTENANT ADOLF SCHEPP, of Wiesbaden, well known for his translations of English and Italian mathematical works into German, died March 9, at the age of 68 years.

NEW PUBLICATIONS.

I. HIGHER MATHEMATICS.

BARBIERI (A.). See BORTOLOTTI (E.).

BOCHOW (K.). Die Funktionen rationaler Winkel, besonders die numerische Berechnung der Winkelfunktionen ohne Benutzung der trigonometrischen Reihen und ohne Kenntnis der Zahl π. (Progr.). Magdeburg, 1905. 4to. 40 pp.

BOLLERT (K.). Ueber konzentrische Flächen zweiter Ordnung. (Preisschrift.) Rostock, 1905. 8vo. 60 pp.

BORTOLOTTI (E.). Lezioni sul calcolo degli infinitesimi date nella R. Università di Modena. Raccolte da A. Barbieri. Modena, 1905.

BOYD (J. E.). Differential equations. A short course for engineering students. Columbus, O., Boyd, 1905. 12mo. 54 pp.

CESÀRO (E.). Elementi di calcolo infinitesimale, con numerose applicazioni geometriche. 2a edizione, notevolmente ampliata. Napoli, Alvano, 1905. 8vo. 8 + 526 pp. Fr. 20.00

COUTURAT (L.). L'algèbre de la logique. (Collection *Scientia*; série physico-mathématique). Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1905. 8vo. 100 pp. Fr. 2.00

DOHMEN (F. J.). Darstellung der Berührungstransformationen in Konnexkoordinaten. (Diss.). Greifswald, 1905. 8vo. 43 pp.

FERRARIO (C.). Curve graduate e raccordi a curve graduate. Milano, 1904. 12mo. 30 + 251 pp. M. 3.00

GRAHAM (J.). Elementary treatise on the calculus. For engineering students. 3d edition, revised. London, Spon, 1905. 8vo. 288 pp. Cloth. 7s. 6d.

GUIMARÃES (R.). Les mathématiques en Portugal. 2e édition, revue et augmentée. Coïmbre, 1905. 8vo.

HADAMARD (J.). Résolution d'un problème aux limites pour les équations linéaires du type hyperbolique. (*Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, Vol. 32, pp. 1–27.)

—— Sur quelques questions de calcul des variations. (*Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, Vol. 33, pp. 1–8.)

—— Sur les solutions fondamentales des équations linéaires aux dérivées partielles. (*Verhandlungen des III. Internationalen Mathematiker-Kongresses*, 1904, pp. 265–271.)

HUDSON (R. W. H. T.). Kummer's quartic surface. Cambridge, University Press, 1905. 8vo. 234 pp. Cloth. 8s.

JUNKER (F.). Repetitorium und Aufgabensammlung zur Differentialrechnung. 2te verbesserte Auflage. (Sammlung Göschen, No. 164.) Leipzig, Göschen, 1905. 16mo. 129 pp. Cloth. M. 0.80

KAROLLUS (F. K.). Die Entwicklung des Koordinatenbegriffes. (Progr.). Wien, 1904. 8vo. 7 pp.

KIPPELS (H.). Involutorische Regelscharen zweiter und Raumkurven dritter und vierter Ordnung im geschart involutorischen Raum. (Diss.). Strassburg, 1904. 8vo. 24 pp.

KRUSCHE (A.) Ueber Kurven und Flächen, welche sich aus geradlinigen Flächen 2. Grades durch gemeinsame Lote zwischen den Erzeugenden ableiten lassen. (Diss.). Breslau, 1905. 8vo. 58 pp.

LÖTZBEYER (P.) Ueber die Galois'sche Gruppe des Apollonischen Problems in der Ebene und im Raume. (Diss.). Strassburg, 1903. 8vo. 33 pp.

MEYER (W. F.), Differential- und Integralrechnung. Vol. 2: Integralrechnung. (Sammlung Schubert, No. XI.) Leipzig, Göschen, 1905. 12mo. 16 + 444 pp. Cloth. M. 10.00

MILLER (I. E.). The significance of the mathematical element in the philosophy of Plato. Chicago, University of Chicago Press, 1904. 8vo. 96 pp. $0.75

MOORE (B. P.). Valeur approximative d'une intégrale définie. Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1904. 8vo. 7 + 195 pp. Fr. 12.00

MÜTH (G.). Die projektive Erzeugung der Rotationsflächen zweiten Grades. (Diss.). Breslau, 1905. 8vo. 62 pp.

PROMPT. Remarques sur le théorème de Fermat. Grenoble, Allier, 1905. 16mo. 32 pp.

QUELQUES MOTS sur les mathématiques en Portugal. Notice et défense des travaux de Antonio Cabreira. Avec biographie de l'auteur par A. Santos Lucas. Lisbonne, 1905.

REISNER (J.). Ermittlung einiger bestimmten Integrale aus der Definitionsformel. (Progr.). Josefstadt, 1904. 8vo. 11 pp.

SANTOS LUCAS (A.). See QUELQUES MOTS.

SEVERINI (C.). Sul concetto d'integrale definito assolutamente convergente. Palermo, Tipografia matematica, 1905. 8vo. 43 pp.

STOKES (G. G.). Mathematical and physical papers. Reprinted from the original journals and transactions, with brief historical notes and references. Vol. 5. Cambridge, University Press, 1905. 8vo. 396 pp. Cloth. 15s.

SUPPANTSCHITSEN (R.) Ueber Oberflächen vierter Ordnung mit Doppelkegelschnitt. (Progr.). Prag-Neustadt, 1904. 8vo. 40 pp.

THOMAE (J.). Sammlung von Formeln und Sätzen aus dem Gebiete der elliptischen Funktionen nebst Anwendungen. Leipzig, 1905. 4to. 44 pp. M. 2.80

VAN VLECK (E. B.), WHITE (H. S.), and WOODS (F. S.). Lectures on mathematics, delivered from Sept. 2 to Sept. 5, 1903, before members of the American Mathematical Society in connection with the summer meeting held at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. New York, Macmillan, 1905. 8vo. 10 + 187 pp. Cloth. $2.00

WHITE (H. S.). See VAN VLECK (E. B.).

WIERNBERGER (P.). Recherches diverses sur les polygones réguliers et les radicaux superposés. (Thèse.) Lyon, Rey, 1905. 8vo. 132 pp. Fr. 3.50

WOODS (F. S.). See VAN VLECK (E. B.).

II. ELEMENTARY MATHEMATICS.

ARZELÀ (C.). Trattato di algebra elementare ad uso dei licei e degli istituti tecnici. Parte I. Firenze, 1905. Fr. 2.50

BAKER (W. M.). Key to elementary algebra. Part 2. London, Bell, 1905. 8vo. 5s.

BALTIN (R.) und MAIWALD (W.). Sammlung von Aufgaben aus der Arithmetik, Trigonometrie und Stereometrie, mit zahlreichen Anwendungen aus der Planimetrie und Physik für Seminare und Präparandenanstalten. Unter Zugrundelegung der Müller-Kutnewskyschen Aufgabensammlung, Teil I, nach den preussischen Lehrplänen von 1901 bearbeitet. 2. Teil. 2te verbesserte Auflage. Leipzig, Teubner, 1905. 8vo. 8 pp. + pp. 111–340. Cloth. M. 2.20

BECKER (H.). Lorenzo Mascheroni's Zirkelgeometrie im Dienste des mathematischen Unterrichts. (Progr.) Insterburg, 1905. 4to. 15 pp.

BODE (A.). Leitfaden für den arithmetischen Unterricht in sechsklassigen Lehrer-Bildungs-Anstalten, nach Massgabe der seit dem 1. VII. 1901 in Preussen geltenden Bestimmungen bearbeitet für die Hand des Lernenden. 2te, wesentlich verbesserte und erweiterte Auflage. Halle, Schroedel, 1905. 8vo. 6 + 222 pp. M. 2.50

BOZAL OBEJERO (A.). Tratado elemental de trigonometría rectilínea. Madrid, Hernando, 1905. 8vo. 145 pp. Cloth. Fr. 5.00

CHEEVER (E. J.). The king of calculators, presenting a new system of rapid calculation. Little Rock, Cheever, 1904. 12mo. 55 pp. Cloth. $1.00

CLAY (C. M.). Examples in algebra. Eight thousand exercises and problems, carefully graded from the easiest to the most difficult. New York, Macmillan, 1905. 12mo. 7 + 372 pp. Cloth. $0.90.

GÓMEZ PALLETE (J.) Trigonometría, rectilínea y esférica, considerada como introducción al estudio de la geometría analítica. Obra premiada en la Exposición literario-artística de 1885 y declarada de texto en la Escuela superior de guerra y para el ingreso en el Colegio general militar por real orden de 23 de julio de 1904. Madrid, Fe, 1905. 8vo. 142 pp. Fr. 5.00

HALL (H. S.). Easy graphs. London, Macmillan, 1905. 8vo. 72 pp. 1 s.

HARTL (H.). Zur Einführung in die Logarithmen-Lehre. Mit einer Sammlung von Beispielen, für deren logarithmische Ausführung keine Interpolationen nötig sind. Wien, Deuticke, 1905. 8vo. 16 pp. M. 0.80

JUAN (J.). Ejercicios de geometría. Estos apuntes contienen la demostración de los teoremas y la resolución de los problemas que aparecen como ejercicios en la novena edición de la Geometría. De testo para el ingreso en las academias militares. Valladolid, Montero, 1904. 8vo. 8 + 150 pp.

MAIWALD (W.). See BALTIN (R.).

MARKS (C. I.). Mathematical questions and solutions. New Series. Vol. 7. London, Hodgson, 1905. 8vo. 6s. 6d.

MARTINI ZUCCAGNI (A.). Il teorema binomiale di Newton e i principi dell'analisi indeterminata di primo grado, ad uso dei licei. Appendice al Trattato d'algebra ad uso dei licei, dello stesso autore. Livorno, Giusti, 1905. 8vo. 27 pp. Fr. 0.20

MAYER (J. E.). Das mathematische Pensum des Primaners. Ein Hilfsbuch für den Primaner humanistischer und realistischer Gymnasien, für Techniker, etc., sowie besonders für das Selbststudium. Teile 9, 10: Elemente der analytischen Geometrie der Ebene. Leipzig, Schäfer, 1905. 8vo. 80 pp. M. 2.00

MENDIZABAL Y TEMBORREL (J. DE). Tablas de multiplicar que dano los productos de los numeros de 1 y 2 cifras for todos los comprendidos entre 1 y 10,000 y reducen cualquier otra multiplicación á una sencillisima adición, así como las divisiones á sustracciones. Hay ademas otras tablas que dano los cuadrados de todos los numeros menores que 10,000 y los cubos de los numeros inferiores á 1000. Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1903. 96 pp. Fr. 3.00

MIORINI (W. VON). Aufgaben aus der sphärischen Trigonometrie. Wien, 1904. 8vo. 29 pp. M. 1.20

MÜLLER (H.). Die Mathematik auf den Gymnasien und Realschulen. Für den Unterricht dargestellt. 1. Teil: Die Unterstufe. (Lehraufgaben der Klassen Quarta bis Unter-Sekunda.) 3te Auflage. Ausgabe A; Für Gymnasien und Progymnasien. Leipzig, Teubner, 1905. 8vo. 8 + 136 pp. Cloth. M. 1.60

—— und PIETZKER (F.). Rechenbuch für die unteren Klassen der höheren Lehranstalten. Vorstufe zu den Aufgabensammlungen von Bardey und Müller-Kutnewsky. II. Abteilung: Für Quinta. 2te, verbesserte Auflage. Leipzig, Teubner, 1904. 8vo. Pp. 81–149. M. 0.80

—— Dasselbe. Mit Doppeltafeln: Reproduktion eines Staatspapiers. Ausgabe A: Für Gymnasien und Progymnasien. 2te, verbesserte Auflage: Leipzig, Teubner, 1904. 8vo. 8 + 254 pp. Cloth. M. 2.40

—— Dasselbe. Mit Doppeltafeln: Reproduktion eines Staatspapiers. III. Abteilung: Für Quarta. 2te, verbesserte Auflage. Leipzig, Teubner, 1905. 8vo. Pp. 149–254. M. 1.00

PFAU (J.). Anschauliche Behandlung der Gleichungen in der Bürgerschule. In 2 Teilen. I. Untersuchungen über das Wesen der entgegengesetzten Zahlen. II. Ausgeführte Lektionen nebst 235 Ziffer- und Textaufgaben und deren Lösungen. Wien, Pichler, 1905. 8vo. 5 + 54 pp. M. 1.50

PIETZKER (F.). See MÜLLER (H.).

PRACTICAL geometry with mensuration. Reissue. London, Oliver, 1905. 8vo. 1s.

RÜEFLI (J.). Kleines Lehrbuch der Stereometrie, nebst einer Sammlung von Uebungsaufgaben. 4te Auflage. Bern, Francke, 1905. 8vo. 61 pp. M. 0.80

SCHUBERT (H.). Beispiel-Sammlung zur Arithmetik und Algebra. 3te, durchgesehene Auflage. (Sammlung Göschen, No. 48.) Leipzig, Göschen, 1905. 16mo. 147 pp. Cloth. M. 0.80

SIMON (P.) Guide méthodique de résolution des problèmes de géométrie élémentaire. 2e édition. Paris, 1904. 8vo. Fr. 3.50

WILK (E.). Das Werden der Zahlen und des Rechnens im Menschen und in der Menschheit auf Grund von Psychologie und Geschichte. I. Teil: Die ganzen Zahlen. [Jahrbuch des Vereins für wissenschaftliche Pädagogik.] Dresden, Bleyl, 1904. 8vo. 103 pp. M. 1.80

WILLMON (J. C.). The secret of the circle and the square. Los Angeles, Willmon, 1905. 8vo. 30 pp. Cloth.

WITHERS (J. W.). Euclid's parallel postulate, its nature, validity, and place in geometrical systems. (Thesis.) Chicago, Open Court Publishing Co., 1905. 8vo. 7 + 192 pp. Cloth.

ZUPANEC (J.). Die sphärische Trigonometrie in der Realschule. Göding, 1904. 8vo. 34 pp. M. 1.50

III. APPLIED MATHEMATICS.

ALETTER (F.). Die Ionenkonzentration ternärer Elektrolyte. (Diss.). Rostock, 1905. 8vo. 61 pp.

APPELL (P.) et CHAPPUIS (J.). Leçons de mécanique élémentaire à l'usage des élèves des classes de mathématiques A et B, conformément aux programmes du 31 mai 1902. Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1905. 8vo.

BAVINK (B.). Beiträge zur Kenntnis der magnetischen Influenz in Kristallen. (Diss.). Göttingen, 1905. 8vo. 90 pp.

BEHRENS (P.). Ueber Refraktion von Schallstrahlen in der Atmosphäre. (Diss.). Rostock, 1905. 8vo. 29 pp.

BELL (G. M.). See GODFREY (C.).

BELLUZZO (G.). Le turbine a vapore ed a gaz. Milano, 1904. 8vo. 19 + 413 pp. M. 10.00

BIRVEN (H.). Grundzüge der mechanischen Wärmetheorie. Stuttgart, Grub, 1905. 8vo. 7 + 128 pp. Cloth. M. 2.80

BOHLIN (K.). Sur le développement des perturbations planétaires. Application aux petites planètes. Stockholm, 1903. 4to. 262 pp. M. 16.00

BONWITT (G.). Die Gestaltungen der Ozeanwellen in einfachen und zusammengesetzten (parallelen und gekreuzten) Zügen. (Diss.). Rostock, 1904. 8vo. 42 pp.

BOULVIN (J.). Théorie des machines thermiques. (Cours de mécanique appliquée aux machines. Fascicule 3.) 2e édition. Paris, 1905. 8vo. 548 pp. Fr. 12.00

BRANDLER-PRACHT (K.). Mathematisch instruktives Lehrbuch der Astrologie (Sterndeutung zur Geburtszeit). Leipzig, 1905. 8vo. 8 + 220. pp. M. 4.00

BRUNN (A. VON). Die Säkularbeschleunigung des Mondes. Göttingen, Vandenhoeck, 1905. 8vo. 102 pp. M. 1.20

CHAPPUIS (J.). See APPELL (P.).

CHEVALLIER (H.). Traité des courants alternatifs simples et polyphasés et de leurs principales applications industrielles. Paris, 1905. 8vo.

EGGAR (W. D.). Mechanics. School course. London, Arnold, 1905. 8vo. 296 pp. Cloth. 3s. 6d.

EVERITT (P. F.). See TAYLOR (J. T.).

GEIGENMÜLLER (R.). Leitfaden und Aufgabensammlung zur Mechanik. Für technische Fachschulen und den Selbstunterricht bearbeitet. 1. Teil: Elementarmechanik. 5. Auflage. Mittweida, 1905. 8vo. 8 + 303. pp. Cloth. M. 5.50

GERARD (E.). Leçons sur l'électricité. 7e édition, refondue et complétée. (En 2 volumes.) Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1905. 8vo. Fr. 24.00

GLAGE (G.). F. E. Neumann's Methode zur Bestimmung der Wärmeleitungsfähigkeit gut leitender Körper in Stab- und Ringform und ihre Durchführung an Eisen, Stahl, Kupfer, Silber, Blei, Zinn, Zink, Messing, Neusilber. (Diss.) Königsberg, 1905. 8vo. 89 pp.

GODFREY (C.) and BELL (G. M.). Note-book of experimental mathematics. London, Arnold, 1905. 4to. 2s.

GUICHARD (C.). Traité de mécanique. II. partie: Cinématique, statique, dynamique, à l'usage des classes de mathématiques A et B. Paris, Vuibert, 1905. 8vo. 8 + 196 pp. Fr. 2.50

GÜNTHER (S.). Astronomische Geographie. (Sammlung Göschen, No. 92.) Leipzig, Göschen, 1905. 16mo. 170 pp. Cloth. M. 0.80

HELLER (A. H.). Stresses in structures and the accompanying deformations. Columbus, O., Heller, 1905. 8vo. 167 pp. Cloth. $2.50

HERRMANN (G.). See WEISBACH (J.).

HERRMANN (J.). Elektrotechnik. Einführung in die moderne Gleich- und Wechselstromtechnik. 2ter Teil: Die Gleichstromtechnik. Kurze Beschreibung der Gleichstromerzeuger, der Gleichstrommotoren und der Akkumulatoren. (Sammlung Göschen, No. 197.) Leipzig, Göschen, 1905. 16mo. 114 pp. Cloth. M. 0.80

JÄGER (G.). Theoretische Physik. Teil II: Licht und Wärme. 3te, verbesserte Auflage. (Sammlung Göschen, No. 77.) Leipzig, Göschen, 1905. 16mo. 153 pp. Cloth. M. 0.80

—— Theoretische Physik. Teil III: Elektrizität und Magnetismus. 3te, verbesserte Auflage. (Sammlung Göschen, No. 78.) Leipzig, Göschen, 1905. 16mo. 149 pp. Cloth. M. 0.80

KLEINSCHMIDT (E.). Untersuchungen über einige Fragen, die mit der drahtlosen Telegraphie im Zusammenhang stehen. (Diss.) Strassburg, 1904. 8vo. 30 pp.

KNABL (E.). Construktive Lösung elementarer astronomischer Aufgaben. Stockerau, 1904. 8vo. 37 pp.

LAZARSKI (M.). Zasady geometryi wykreślnéj. Vol. I. Lemberg, 1904. 4to. 7 + 144 pp., 18 plates. M. 7.00

MASONI (U.). L'énergie hydraulique et les récepteurs hydrauliques. Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1905. 8vo. 4 + 320 pp. Fr. 10.00

MONTPELLIER (J. A.). See SARTORI (G.).

MÜLLER (F. J.). Theorie der Knickung in ihrer historischen Entwicklung. München, Gerber, 1905. 4to. 39 pp.

PIZZETTI (P.). Trattato di geodesia teoretica. Bologna, 1905. 8vo. 9 + 467 pp. Fr. 12.00

POUSSIN (R.). Sur l'application des procédés graphiques aux calculs d'assurances. Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1905. 8vo. 122 pp. Fr. 10.00

REGIS (D.). Corso di applicazioni della geometria descrittiva. 2a edizione. Fascicolo 1: Contorni d'ombra e linee di eguale illuminazione. Bologna, 1904. 8vo. 56 pp. M. 2.50

SARTORI (G.). La technique des courants alternatifs. Traduit de l'Italien par J. A. Montpellier. Volume II: Développements et calculs pratiques relatifs aux phénomènes du courant alternatif. Paris, 1904. 8vo. 634 pp. Fr. 21.00

SCHIMMELPENNING VON DER OYE (V. VON). Zur Theorie der Doppelbrechung. 2. Teil. (Fortsetzung und Schluss.) (Progr.) Brünn, 1904. 8vo. 23 pp.

SCHNITZLER (H.). Ueber die Belichtung von krummen Flächen, speziell von Rotationsflächen 2. Ordnung. (Diss.) Rostock, 1904. 8vo. 37 pp.

STOENESCO-DUNARE (P.). Sur la propagation et l'extinction des ondes planes dans un milieu homogène et translucide, pourvu d'un plan de symétrie. (Thèse.) Paris, Bonnet, 1905. 4to. 47 pp.

TAYLOR (J. T.). The optics of photography and photographic lenses. 3d edition, revised; with an additional chapter on anastigmatic lenses by P. F. Everitt. New York, Whittaker, 1904. 12mo. 270 pp. Cloth. $1.00

THÜMMLER (E.). Fliehkraft und Beharrungsregler. Versuch einer einfachen Darstellung der Regulierungsfrage im Tolleschen Diagramm mit einem Anhange: Ueber den Einfluss des Reglers auf das Pendeln parallel geschalteter Wechselstrommaschinen. (Diss.) Dresden, 1903. 8vo. 153 pp.

TROWBRIDGE (A.). See WEISBACH (J.).

VIDAL (L.). Manuel pratique de cinématique navale et maritime, à l'usage de la marine de guerre et de la marine de commerce. Paris, Gauthier-Villars, 1905. 8vo. 17 + 222 pp. Fr. 7.50

WEGENER (A.). Die Alfonsinischen Tafeln für den Gebrauch eines modernen Rechners. (Diss.) Berlin, 1905. 8vo. 63 pp.

WEISBACH (J.) and HERRMANN (G.). Mechanics of air machinery. Authorized translation, with an appendix on American practice, by A. Trowbridge. New York, Van Nostrand, 1905. 8vo. 63 pp. $3.75

ZENNECK (J.). Elektromagnetische Schwingungen und drahtlose Telegraphie. Stuttgart, Enke, 1905. 8vo. 28 + 1019 pp. Cloth. M. 30.00

FOURTEENTH ANNUAL LIST OF PAPERS

READ BEFORE THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY AND SUBSEQUENTLY PUBLISHED, INCLUDING REFERENCES TO THE PLACES OF THEIR PUBLICATION.

ALLARDICE, R. E. On a Linear Transformation, and some Systems of Hypocycloids. Read May 3, 1902. *Annals of Mathematics*, ser. 2, vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 169–172; July, 1904.

BIRKHOFF, G. D. and VANDIVER, H. S. On the Integral Divisors of $a^n - b^n$. Read Dec. 29, 1902, *Annals of Mathematics*, ser. 2, vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 173–180; July, 1904.

BLICHFELDT, H. F. On the Order of Linear Homogeneous Groups (Second Paper). Read (San Francisco) Dec. 25, 1903. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 310–325; July, 1904.

—— A Theorem Concerning the Invariants of Linear Homogeneous Groups, with some Applications to Substitution Groups. Read (San Francisco) Apr. 30, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 461–466; Oct., 1904.

—— The Finite Discontinuous Primitive Groups of Collineations in Four Variables. Read (San Francisco) Apr. 30, 1904. *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 60, No. 2, pp. 204–231; Mar., 1905.

—— On Imprimitive Linear Homogeneous Groups. Read (San Francisco) Feb. 25, 1905. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 230–236; April, 1905.

BLISS, G. A. The Exterior and Interior of a Plane Curve. Read (Chicago) Apr. 2, 1904. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, ser. 2, vol. 10, No. 8, pp. 398–404; May, 1904.

—— Sufficient Condition for a Minimum with respect to One-Sided Variations. Read (Chicago) Apr. 2, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 477–492; Oct., 1904.

BÔCHER, MAXIME. A Problem in Statics and its Relation to Certain Algebraic Invariants. Read Apr. 30, 1904. *Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences*, vol. 40, No. 11, pp. 469–484; Dec., 1904.

BOLZA, OSKAR. Lectures on the Calculus of Variations. Read Aug. 21–24, 1901. *Decennial Publications of the University of Chicago*, ser. 2, vol. 14; 1904.

BOUTON, C. L. Note on Isothermal Curves and One-Parameter Groups of Conformal Transformations in the Plane. Read Feb. 25, 1905. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 7, pp. 369–371; April, 1905.

BROWN, E. W. On the Completion of the Solution of the Main Problem in the New Lunar Theory. Read Oct. 29, 1904. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, vol. 65, No. 2, pp. 104–108; Dec., 1904.

—— On the Smaller Perturbations of the Lunar Arguments. Read Feb. 27, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 279–287; July, 1904.

CAMPBELL, D. F. On Homogenous Quadratic Relations in the Solutions of a Linear Differential Equation of the Fourth Order. Read (Chicago) Jan. 2, 1903. *Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 36, No. 4, pp. 296–304; May, 1905.

CHESSIN, A. S. On a Class of Differential Equations. Read Sept. 1, 1903. *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 27, No. 2, pp. 103–112; Apr., 1905.

—— On the Strains in a Rapidly Rotating Disc. Read (Chicago) Apr. 11, 1903. *Transactions of Academy of Science of St. Louis*, vol. 13, No. 1, pp. xxxii–xxxvi; Nov., 1903.

COLLINS, J. V. Some Developments in Vector Analysis. Read (Chicago) Dec. 31, 1903. *Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung*, vol. 14, Nos. 3–4, pp. 164–167; Mar.–Apr., 1905; and *American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 38–41; Feb., 1905.

DICKSON, L. E. A New System of Simple Groups. Read Sept. 2, 1902, *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 60, No. 1, pp. 137–150; Jan., 1905.

—— Memoir on Abelian Transformations. Read (Chicago) Jan. 1, 1904. *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 26, No. 3, pp. 243–317; July, 1904.

—— Application of Groups to a Complex Problem in Arrangements. Read (Chicago) Apr. 2, 1904. *Annals of Mathematics*, ser. 2, vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 31–44; Oct., 1904.

—— The Group of a Tactical Configuration. Read Dec. 29, 1904. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 177–179; Jan., 1905.

—— A General Theorem on Algebraic Numbers. Read Dec. 29, 1904. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 9, pp. 482–486; June, 1905.

—— Definitions of a Group and a Field by Independent Postulates. Read (Chicago) Dec. 30, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 198–204; Apr., 1905.

—— On Semi-Groups and the General Isomorphism between Infinite Groups. Read (Chicago) Dec. 30, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6. No. 2, pp. 205–208; Apr., 1905.

—— Determination of the Ternary Modular Groups. Read (Chicago) Dec. 30, 1904. *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 27, No. 2, pp. 189–202; Apr., 1905.

—— The Minimum Degree τ of Resolvents for the p-Section of the Periods of Hyperelliptic Functions of Four Periods. Read (Chicago) Dec. 30, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 48–57; Jan., 1905.

—— On the Cyclotomic Function. Read (Chicago) Apr. 22, 1905. *American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 12, No. 4, pp. 86–89; Apr. 1905.

—— Determination of all the Subgroups of Orders the Three Highest Powers of p in the Group G of all m-ary Linear Homogeneous Transformations Modulo p. Read Sept. 17, 1904. *Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 36, No. 4, pp. 373–384; May, 1905.

—— On the Class of the Substitutions of Various Linear Groups. Read Apr. 29, 1905. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 8, pp. 426–432; May, 1905.

DOWLING, L. W. On the Conformal Representation of Certain Isosceles Triangles upon the Upper Half Plane. Read (Chicago) Jan. 2, 1902. *Annals of Mathematics*, ser. 2, vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 69–85; Jan., 1905.

EDDY, H. T. On the Complex Product of Electromotive Force, Current and Other Vectors. Read Aug. 31, 1903. *Electrical World and Engineer*, vol. 44, No. 4, pp. 133–135; July 23, 1904.

EISENHART, L. P. Surfaces with the same Spherical Representation of their Lines of Curvature as Pseudospherical Surfaces. Read Oct. 31, 1903. *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 27, No. 2, pp. 113–172, Apr., 1905.

—— Three Particular Systems of Lines on a Surface. Read Feb. 27, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 421–437; Oct., 1904.

—— On the Deformation of Surfaces of Translation. Read Feb. 25, 1905. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 9, pp. 486–494; June, 1905.

EMCH, A. On the Involution of Stresses in a Plane. Read (Chicago) Jan. 3, 1903. Author's introduction to Projective Geometry, pp. 223–239; Jan., 1905.

EPSTEEN, SAUL, and MACLAGAN-WEDDERBURN, J. On the Structure of Hypercomplex Number Systems. Read (Chicago) Dec. 30, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 172–178; Apr., 1905.

FINDLAY, WILLIAM. The Sylow Subgroups of the Symmetric Group. Read Feb. 27, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 263–278; July, 1904.

FISKE, T. S. Presidential Address: Mathematical Progress in America. Read Dec. 29, 1904. *Science*, New Series, vol. 21, No. 528, pp. 209–215; Feb. 10, 1905; and *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 5, pp. 238–246; Feb., 1905.

FORD, W. B. Sur la Fonction définie par une Série de Maclaurin. Read Dec. 29, 1903. *Journal de Mathématiques*, ser. 5, vol. 9, No. 2, pp. 221–232; June, 1903.

FRÉCHET, MAURICE. Sur les Opérations linéaires. Read Sept. 17, 1904. *Transactions of American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 493–499; Oct., 1904.

—— Sur les Opérations linéaires (deuxième Note). Read Dec. 29, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 134–140; Apr., 1905.

HANCOCK, H. Remarks on the Sufficient Conditions in the Calculus of Variations. Read Dec. 29, 1902. *University of Cincinnati Bulletin of Mathematics*, No. 1, pp. 203–204; 1904.

HASKELL, M. W. The Construction of Conics under Given Conditions. Read (San Francisco) Apr. 30, 1904. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 5, pp. 268–273; Feb., 1905.

HAWKES, H. E. On Hypercomplex Number Systems in Seven Units. Read Apr. 25, 1903. *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 26, No. 3, pp. 223–242; July, 1904.

—— On Quaternion Number Systems. Read Feb. 27, 1904. *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 60, No. 3, pp. 437–447; May, 1905.

HILTEBEITEL, A. M. Note on a Problem in Mechanics. Read Feb. 25, 1905. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 8, pp. 432–436; May, 1905.

HUNTINGTON, E. V. Sets of Independent Postulates for the Algebra of Logic. Read Sept. 1, 1903, Dec. 28, 1903, and Apr. 30, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 288–309; July, 1904.

—— A Set of Postulates for Real Algebra, comprising Postulates for a One-Dimensional Continuum and for the Theory of Groups. Read Sept. 17, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 17–41; Jan., 1905.

—— Note on the Definitions of Abstract Groups and Fields by Sets of Independent Postulates. Read Dec. 30, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 181–197; Apr., 1905.

—— A Set of Postulates for Ordinary Complex Algebra. Read Dec. 30, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 209–229; Apr., 1905.

HUTCHINSON, J. I. On the Automorphic Functions of the Group (0, 3; 2, 6, 6). Read Aug. 31, 1903, and Dec. 28, 1903. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 447–460; Oct., 1904.

KAŠNER, E. Surfaces whose Geodesics may be Represented in the Plane by Parabolas. Read Dec. 30, 1902. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 141–158; Apr., 1905.

—— A Characteristic Property of Isothermal Systems of Curves. Read Dec. 29, 1903. *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 59, No. 3, pp. 352–354; Oct., 1904.

—— Galileo and the Modern Concept of Infinity. Read Feb. 27, 1904. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 9, pp. 499–501; June, 1905.

LEHMER, D. N. On a Cylinder the Intersection of which with a Sphere will Develop into an Ellipse. Read (San Francisco) Apr. 30, 1904. *American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 11, No. 10, pp. 186–187; Oct., 1904.

LOVETT, E. O. Singular Trajectories in the Restricted Problem of Four Bodies. Read Apr. 30, 1904. *Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata*, ser. 3, vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 1–8; Nov., 1904.

MACAULAY, F. S. On a Method of Dealing with the Intersections of Plane Curves. Read Sept. 17, 1905. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 385–410; Oct., 1904.

MACLAGAN-WEDDERBURN, J. See EPSTEEN, S.

MANNING, W. A. On the Primitive Groups of Class 3p. Read (San Francisco) Apr. 25, 1903. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 42–47; Jan., 1905.

MASON, MAX. Sur les Solutions satisfaisant à des Conditions aux Limites données de l'Équation differentielle $\Delta u + \lambda A(x, y)\, u = f(x, y)$. Read Feb. 27, 1904, and Oct. 29, 1904. *Journal de Mathématiques*, ser. 5, vol. 10, No. 4, pp. 445–476; Dec., 1904.

—— The Doubly Periodic Solutions of Poisson's Equation in two Independent Variables. Read Dec. 29, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 159–164; Apr., 1905.

MILLER, G. A. On the Number of Sets of Conjugate Subgroups. Read Oct. 31, 1903. *Prace Matematyczno-Fizyczne*, vol. 15, pp. 87–90; 1904.

—— On the Roots of the Operators of a Group. Read (San Francisco) Dec. 19, 1903. *Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 36, No. 1, pp. 51–55; Sept., 1904.

—— Addition to a Theorem due to Frobenius. Read (San Francisco) Apr. 30, 1904. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 6–7; Oct., 1904.

—— Note on Burnside's Theory of Groups. Read Sept. 17, 1904. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 2, p. 64, § 14; Nov., 1904.

—— Groups of the Fundamental Operations of Arithmetic. Read Oct. 29, 1904. *Annals of Mathematics*, ser. 2, vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 41*–48*; Apr., 1905.

—— Determination of all the Groups of Order 2^m which Contain an odd Number of Cyclic Subgroups of Composite Order. Read (San Francisco) Oct. 1, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 58–62; Jan., 1905.

MOORE, E. H. On a Definition of Abstract Groups. Read Dec. 30, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 179–180; Apr., 1905.

MOREHEAD, J. C. Note on a Theorem of Lucas on Fermat's Numbers. Read Oct. 29, 1904. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 3, p. 114, § 5; Dec., 1904.

—— Note on Fermat's Numbers. Read Apr. 29, 1905. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 10, pp. 543–545; July, 1905.

MORLEY, F. On the Geometry whose Element is the 3-Point of a Plane. Read Aug. 31, 1903 and Dec. 29, 1903. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 467–476; Oct., 1904.

MORRISON, MRS. [GROW, B. E.] Removal of Any Two Terms from a Binary Quantic by Linear Transformations. Read June 27, 1900. *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 23, No. 3, pp. 287–296; July, 1901.

MORITZ, R. E. A General Theorem on Local Probability. Read (San Francisco) Feb. 25, 1905. *American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 12, No. 3, pp. 59–64; Mar., 1905.

PEIRCE, J. M. On Certain Complete Systems of Quaternion Expressions, and on the Removal of Metric Limitations from the Calculus of Quaternions. Read Apr. 30, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 411–420; Oct., 1904.

RIETZ, H. L. On Groups in which certain Commutative Operations are Conjugate. Read (Chicago) Dec. 31, 1903. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 500–508; Oct., 1904.

—— Simply Transitive Primitive Groups which are Simple Groups. Read (Chicago) Apr. 22, 1905. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 10, pp. 545–546; July, 1905.

ROE, E. D. JR. On the Coefficients in the Quotient of two Alternants. Read Dec. 29, 1902. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 63–74; Jan., 1905.

RUSK, W. J. Note on the nth Derivative of a Determinant whose Constituents are Functions of a given Variable. Read (Chicago) Apr. 2, 1904. *American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 12, No. 4, p. 85; Apr. 1905.

SHAW, J. B. Algebras Defined by Finite Groups. Read (Chicago) Dec. 31, 1903. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 326–342; July, 1904.

SLOCUM, S. E. The Strength of Flat Plates, with an Application to Concrete Steel Floor Panels. Read (Chicago) Apr. 2, 1904. *Engineering News*, vol. 52, No. 1, pp. 22–24; July 7, 1904.

—— Relation between Real and Complex Groups with Respect to their Structure and Continuity. Read (Chicago) Jan. 3, 1903. *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 27, No. 1, pp. 7–14; Jan., 1905.

SMITH, BURKE. On the Deformation of Surfaces of Translation. Read Dec. 29, 1904. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 187–191; Jan., 1905.

SMITH, P. F. On the Linear Transformations of a Quadratic Form into Itself. Read Dec. 28, 1901, and Dec. 29, 1903. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 1–16; Jan., 1905.

SNYDER, VIRGIL. On the Forms of Sextic Scrolls having a Rectilinear Directrix. Read Dec. 28, 1903. *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 27, No. 1, pp. 77–102; Jan., 1905.

—— On Developable and Tubular Surfaces having Spherical Lines of Curvature. Read Apr. 30, 1904. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 1–6; Oct., 1904.

—— On the Quintic Scroll having a Tacnodal or Osculnodal Conic. Read Oct. 29, 1904. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 182–186; Jan., 1905.

—— On the Forms of Sextic Scrolls having no Rectilinear Directrix. Read Dec. 29, 1904. *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 27, No. 2, pp. 173–188; Apr., 1905.

STRINGHAM, IRVING. A Geometric Construction for Quaternion Products. Read (San Francisco) Feb. 25, 1905. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 8, pp. 437–439; May, 1905.

TABER, HENRY. On Hypercomplex Number Systems. Read Feb. 27, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 509–548; Oct., 1904.

VANDIVER, H. S. See BIRKHOFF, G. D.

VAN VLECK, E. B. On the Convergence of Algebraic Continued Fractions whose Coefficients have Limiting Values. Read Feb. 27, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 253–262; July, 1904.

—— Selected Topics in the Theory of Divergent Series and of Continued Fractions. Read Sept. 2–5, 1903. The Boston Colloquium Lectures on Mathematics, pp. 75–187; 1905.

VEBLEN, OSWALD. A Set of Axioms for Geometry. Read (Chicago) Apr. 11, 1903. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 343–384: July, 1904.

—— Theory of Plane Curves in Non-Metrical Analysis Situs. Read Sept. 17, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 83–98; Jan., 1905.

—— Definition in Terms of Order Alone in the Linear Continuum and in Well-Ordered Sets. Read (Chicago) Dec. 30, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 165–171; Apr., 1905.

WHITE, H. S. Linear Systems of Curves on Algebraic Surfaces. Read Sept. 2–5, 1903. The Boston Colloquium Lectures on Mathematics, pp. 1–30; 1905.

WILCZYNSKI, E. J. Invariants of a System of Linear Partial Differential Equations and the Theory of Congruences of Rays. Read (San Francisco) Apr. 25, 1903. *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 26, No. 4, pp. 319–360; Oct., 1904.

—— On Ruled Surfaces whose Flecnode Curve Intersects every Generator in two Coincident Points. Read Dec. 29, 1903. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 438–446; Oct., 1904.

—— General Theory of Curves on Ruled Surfaces. Read Apr. 30, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 1, pp. 75–82; Jan., 1905.

—— General Projective Theory of Space Curves. Read (San Francisco) Oct. 1, 1904. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 99–133; Apr., 1905.

WOODS, F. S. Forms of Non-Euclidean Space. Read Sept. 2–5, 1903. The Boston Colloquium Lectures on Mathematics, pp. 31–74; 1905.

WRIGHT, J. E. Application of the Theory of Continuous Groups to a Certain Differential Equation. Read Dec. 29, 1904. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 4, pp. 180–182; Jan., 1905.

YOUNG, J. W. On the Use of Hypercomplex Numbers in Certain Problems of the Modular Group. Read Sept. 17, 1904. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 11, No. 7, pp. 363–367; Apr., 1905.

INDEX.

BÔCHER, M. The Fundamental Conceptions and Methods of Mathematics, 115.

BOUTON, C. L. Note on Isothermal Curves and One-Parameter Groups of Conformal Transformations in the Plane, 369.

BROMWICH, T. J. I'A. Remarks Concerning the Variation of the Length of a Curve, 547.

COLE, F. N. Reports of Meetings of the American Mathematical Society: October Meeting, 111; Eleventh Annual Meeting, 231; February Meeting, 347; April Meeting, 461.

COOLIDGE, J. L. The Opportunities for Mathematical Study in Italy, 9.

DARBOUX, G. A Survey of the Development of Geometric Methods (translated by H. D. Thompson), 517.

DICKSON, L. E. The Group of a Tactical Configuration, 177.

—— On the Class of the Substitutions of Various Linear Groups, 426.

—— A General Theorem on Algebraic Numbers, 482.

EISENHART, L. P. On the Deformation of Surfaces of Translation, 486.

FISKE, T. S. Mathematical Progress in America, 238.

HASKELL, M. W. The Construction of Conics Under Given Conditions, 268.

—— See PICARD, E.

HASKELL, M. W., and WHITE, H. S. The Eleventh Summer Meeting of the American Mathematical Society, 55.

HILTEBEITEL, A. M. Note on a Problem in Mechanics, 432.

HOLGATE, T. F. The December Meeting of the Chicago Section, 352.

KASNER, E. The Present Problems of Geometry, 283.

—— Galileo and the Modern Concept of Infinity, 499.

MILLER, G. A. Reports of Meetings of the San Francisco Section of the American Mathematical Society: October Meeting, 68; February Meeting, 399.

—— Addition to a Theorem Due to Frobenius, 6.

—— Extension of a Theorem Due to Sylow, 367.

—— The Groups of Order 2^m which Contain an Invariant Cyclic Subgroup of Order 2^{m-2}, 494.

MOREHEAD, J. C. Note on Fermat's Numbers, 543.

PICARD, E. On the Development of Mathematical Analysis and its Relation to Certain Other Sciences (translated by M. W. Haskell), 404.

PIERPONT, J. The History of Mathematics in the Nineteenth Century, 136.

RIETZ, H. L. Simply Transitive Primitive Groups which are Simple Groups, 545.

SMITH, B. On the Deformation of Surfaces of Translation, 187.

SNYDER, V. On Developable and Tubular Surfaces having Spherical Lines of Curvature, 1.

—— On the Quintic Scroll having a Tacnodal or Osculnodal Conic, 182.

STRINGHAM, I. A Geometric Construction for Quaternion Products, 437.

THOMPSON, H. D. See DARBOUX, G.

TYLER, H. W. The International Congress of Mathematicians at Heidelberg, 191.

WELD, L. G. The Fifty-fourth Annual Meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science, 315.

WHITE, H. S. Mathematics at the St. Louis Congress, September 20, 22, and 24, 1904, 358.

—— See HASKELL, M. W.

WILCZYNSKI, E. J. On Self-Dual Scrolls, 8.

WILSON, E. B. The Heidelberg Congress: Sectional Meetings, 205, 247.

WILSON, R. E. The Breslau Meeting of the Deutsche Mathematiker-Vereinigung, 263.

WRIGHT, J. E. Application of the Theory of Continuous Groups to a Certain Differential Equation, 180.

YOUNG, J. W. On the Use of Hypercomplex Numbers in Certain Problems of the Modular Group, 363.

—— The April Meeting of the Chicago Section, 472.

REVIEWS.

Abraham, M., Einführung in die Maxwellsche Theorie der Electricität, von A. Föppl (zweite Auflage), E. B. WILSON, 383.

Arendt, G., Dirichlet's Vorlesungen über die Lehre von den einfachen und mehrfachen bestimmten Integralen, V. SNYDER, 371.

Annuaire Astronomique pour 1905 (Royal Belgian Observatory), E. W. BROWN, 164.

Annuaire pour l'An 1905, publié par le Bureau des Longitudes, E. W. BROWN, 445.

Cadenat, A. See Russell, B.

Cajori, F., Theory of Equations, L. E. DICKSON, 163.

Dirichlet, G. L. See Arendt, G.

Fischer, V., Vektordifferentiation und Vektorintegration, E. B. WILSON, 17.

Föppl, A. See Abraham, M.

Gibbs, J. W., Diagrammes et Surfaces thermodynamiques, traduction de G. Roy, W. F. DURAND, 446.

Halsted, G. B., Rational Geometry, S. C. DAVISSON, 330.

Henrici, O., and Turner, G., Vectors and Rotors, with Applications, E. B. WILSON, 17.

Hilton, H., Mathematical Crystallography and the Theory of Groups of Movements, R. P. BAKER, 379.

Humbert, G., Cours d'Analyse, E. R. HEDRICK, 319.

Joly, C. J. A Manual of Quaternions, J. B. SHAW, 548.

Kelland and Tait, P. G. See Knott, C. G.

Knott, C. G., Kelland and Tait's Introduction to Quaternions, third edition E. B. WILSON, 17.

Krazer, A., Lehrbuch der Thetafunktionen, J. I. HUTCHINSON, 375.

Lechalas, G., Introduction à la Géométrie Générale, O. VEBLEN, 439.

Loewy, A., Versicherungsmathematik, S. EPSTEEN, 21.

Meyer, R. See Zeuthen, H. G.

Murray, D. A., First Course in Infinitesimal Analysis, W. B. FITE, 442.

Netto, E., Elementare Algebra, J. H. TANNER, 441.

Rost G., Theorie der Riemannschen Thetafunktion, J. I. HUTCHINSON, 375.

Roy, G. See Gibbs, J. W.

Russell, B., The Principles of Mathematics. E. B. WILSON, 74.

—— Essai sur les Fondements de la Géométrie, traduction par A. Cadenat. E. B. WILSON, 74.

Séguier, J. A. de, Eléments de la Théorie des Groupes Abstraits, L. E. DICKSON, 159.

Seliwanoff, D., Lehrbuch der Differenzenrechnung, S. EPSTEEN, 25.

Tanner, J. H., Elementary Algebra, J. PIERPONT, 444.

Tannery, J. Introduction à la Théorie des Fonctions d'une Variable, Tome I, L. E. DICKSON, 557.

Tchebychef, P. L., Theory of Congruences, A. MARKOFF, 337.

Turner, G. See Henrici, O.

Zeuthen, H. G. Geschichte der Mathematik in XVI. und XVII. Jahrhundert. (Deutsche Ausgabe von R. Meyer), D. E. SMITH, 554.

Errata, 559.

New Publications, 39, 102, 170, 223, 278, 341, 395, 456, 511, 563.

Notes, 27, 93, 164, 217, 274, 337, 387, 447, 502, 560.

Papers Read before the Society and Subsequently Published, Fourteenth Annual List of, 571.

Index, 578.

NOTES AND OTHER ITEMS.

Academies, Associations, Congresses, and Societies:

American Mathematical Society: Annual Meeting, 164; Annual Register, 217; Boston Colloquium Lectures, 387; Bulletin, General Index, 27; Chicago Section, 165, 387; Election of Officers, 232; Twelfth Summer Meeting, 447; Library, 217; New Members Admitted, 55, 111, 231; 347, 461; Presidential Address, 164, 217; Reports of Officers, 232, San Francisco Section, 27, 274, 560; Transactions, 27, 165, 274, 348, 447.

Belgian Academy, 36; British Mathematical Association, 388; Circolo Matematico di Palermo, 167; Denmark Academy, 560; Dutch Acadademy, 389; Geneva Congress of Philosophy 29, 95; German Mathematical Society, 96, 219, 448; Heidelberg Congress, 29, 95; Institute of France, 502; Kazan Physico-Mathematical Society, 36; London Mathematical Society, 218, 275, 337, 388, 448, 502, 560; Madrid Academy, 338; Naples Royal Society, 454; National Academy, 165, 502; National Educational Association, 28, 449; Paris Academy, 276, 337; Royal Society, 220, 502; Society for Study of History of Sciences, 29; St. Petersburg Academy, 220; Turin Academy, 560; Würtemberg Mathematical-Physical Society, 275.

Associations for the Advancement of Science: American, 166, 275; British 28, 93, 388; French, 94.

Associations of Teachers of Mathematics: Asbury Park Conference, 449; California, 275; Central, 217; Chicago Conference, 218; Kansas, 166; Middle States and Maryland, 166, 217, 388, 449; Missouri, 28, 276, 449; New England, 166; Ohio, 276, 448.

Books, Notices of New, 96, 97, 166. 167, 219, 339, 387, 389, 449, 450, 502.

Catalogues of Books and Models, 38, 97, 170, 339, 340, 450, 455, 510.

Doctorates in Mathematics, 28, 165, 393.

Journals:

American Journal of Mathematics, 27, 93, 274, 448; Annals of Mathematics, 27, 93, 274, 448. Crelle, 393. L'Enseignment Mathématique, 95; Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Blätter, 339; Proceedings of the Royal Society, 502; School Science and Mathematics, 275; Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 27, 165, 274, 447.

Papers and Communications Presented to the Society, Authors:

Allen, R. B., 348.
Ames, L. D., 56, 348.
Blichfeldt, H. F., 69, 399, 399.
Bliss, G. A., 56, 473, 473.
Bôcher, M., 56.
Bouton, C. L., 348.
Bromwich, T. J. I'a., 57.
Brown, E. W., 112, 348.
Bussey, W. H., 473.
Coble, A. B., 232, 233.
Curtiss, D. R., 56, 56, 462.
Dickson, L. E., 57, 57, 233, 233, 353, 353, 353, 353, 462, 473, 473, 474.
Eisenhart, L. P., 348, 462.
Epsteen, S., 353, 472.
Escott, E. B., 56.
Fiske, T. S., 233.
Fite, W. B., 57.
Fréchet, M., 57, 233.
Glenn, O. E., 348.
Graber, M. E., 473.
Hedrick, E. R., 57, 57.
Hiltebeitel, A. M., 348.
Hoskins, L. M., 69.
Huntington, E. V., 57, 233, 233.
Ingold, L., 57.
Kasner, E., 112, 233, 462, 462.
Lehmer, D. N., 69.
Lennes, N. J., 353, 353.
Leuschner, A. O., 399.
Loewy, A., 473.
Lovett, E. O., 462.
McClenon, R. B., 462.
Macaulay, F. S., 57.
Maclagan-Wedderburn, J., 353, 473, 473.
MacMahon, P. A., 69.
Manning, W. A., 399.
Maschke, H., 473, 473.
Mason, M., 112, 232, 462.
Miller, G. A., 57, 69, 112, 399.
Moore, E. H., 233.
Moore, R. L., 472, 473.
Morehead, J. C., 112, 462.
Moritz, R. E., 399.
Morley, F., 233.
Owens, F. W., 473.
Pierpont, J., 348, 462, 462.
Poincaré, H., 57.
Porter, M. B., 462, 462.
Putnam, T. M., 69.
Ragsdale, V., 462.
Rietz, H. L., 473.
Royce, J., 462.
Schottenfels, I. M., 57, 462, 462.
Schultze, A., 461.
Scott, C. A., 348.
See, T. J. J., 399.
Sharpe, F. R., 348.
Shaw, J. B., 57, 473, 473.
Sisam, C. H., 233.
Smith, A. W., 348.
Smith, B., 233, 353.
Snyder, V., 112, 232.
Stringham, I., 399.
Taber, H., 112.
Underhill, A. L., 473.
Vandiver, H. S., 57.
Van Vleck, E. B., 112, 462.
Veblen, O., 57, 353, 473, 473, 473, 474.
White, H. S., 57, 353.
Whitney, A. W., 399.
Wilczynski, E. J., 69, 399, 462.
Wilson, A. H., 353.
Wilson, E. B., 462.
Wilson, N. R., 473.
Wright, J. E., 233, 462, 462.
Young, A. E., 353.
Young, J. W., 57.

Personal Notes:

Adrain, R., 221; Allman, G. J., 38; Anderegg, F., 170; André, C. H., 94; André, D., 276.

Baker, R. P., 339; Barbarin, P., 36, 394; Bartlett, D. P., 509; Barton, J. H., 101; Bateman, H., 389; Bjerknes, V. F., 509; Blake, E. M., 101; Blichfeldt, H. F., 563; Bliss, G. A., 562; Boehm, K., 277; Borel. E., 276, 394; Bowser, E. A., 101; Bradshaw, J. W., 37; Braunmühl, A. von, 340; Brendel, M., 99; Bricard, L., 276; Brown, E., 562; Brown, E. W., 455; Buniakowsky, W. J., 339; Burnside, W., 220; Bussey, W. H., 563.

Cairns, W. D., 37, 170, 563; Campbell, J. E., 562; Camp, B. H., 221; Cantor, G., 36, 220; Cantor, M., 454; Carathéodory, C., 454; Carruth, W. H., 509; Cartan, E., 168; Champreux, A. J., 101; Chizzoni, F., 222; Cole, F. N., 170; Colpitts, E. C., 509; Converse, H. C., 101; Cotton, A., 168; Cranz, C., 36.

Darwin, G. H., 28, 388; Davis, N. F., 166; Davis, W. W., 101; Davisson, S. C., 510; Dawson, M. M., 502; Dehn, M., 562; De Motte, H. C., 340; Dingeldey, F., 100; Dirichlet, G. L., 393; Disteli, M., 509; Dodd, E. L., 101; Dommer, A., 169; Dowling, L. W., 455; Drach, J., 168.

Eggert, O., 169; Eichelberger, W. S., 275; Eisenlohr, F., 38; Ely, A. M., 222; Esty, T. C., 170, 394; Esty, W. C., 394; Euer, B. C., 509; Evans, H. B., 169; Everett, J. D., 38; Exner, F., 37; Exner, K. F. J., 277.

Findlay, W., 562; Fine, H. B., 217; Fiske, T. S., 164, 217, 217; Fite, W. B., 563; Föppl, A., 340; Ford, W. B., 563; Forsyth, A. R., 93, 218, 388; Freeman, H. A., 38; Fricke, R., 99; Fürtwängler, P., 277.

Gale, A. S., 562; Giard, N., 94; Gibson, G. A., 455; Gill, D., 28; Glenn, O. E., 563; Gordan, P., 340; Grace, J. H., 218; Grant, E. D., 170; Grassmann, H., 100; Gravatt, T. E., 101; Grünwald, J., 221; Guarducci, F., 100; Gummere, H. V., 101; Gundelfinger, S., 221; Gundersen, C., 37; Gutzmer, A., 96, 219.

Hahn, L., 562; Hall, A., 101; Hallett, G. H., 37; Halsted, G. B., 394; Hart, H. F., 38; Hauck, G., 394; Havemeyer, C., 38; Heffter, L., 100; Herglotz, G., 100; Hilbert, D., 36, 340; Hill, G. W., 220; Hill, R. V., 37; Hoffmann, J. C. V., 394; Hogan, J. R., 340; Holden, C. A., 37; Holgate, T. F., 37; Howland, L. A., 509; Hudson, R. W. H. T., 101, 278; Huntington, E. V., 562.

Jahnke, E., 393; James, J. N., 37; Jarolimek, V., 454; Jeans, J. H., 221; Joly, H., 169.

Karpinski, L. C., 37; Kasner, E., 455; Keyser, C. J., 37, 221; Kiepert, L., 340; Klein, F., 36, 219; Kneser, A., 221; Kortum, H., 170; Kossonogof, I. I., 36; Kowalewski, G., 220.

Laisant, C. A., 94, 169; Lalande, J. J., 454; Lamb, H., 28; Landré, C. L., 510; Landsberg, G., 36, 220; Lebon, E., 509; Lecornu, L., 169; Legras, G., 166; Lehmann-Filhés, R., 454; Lehmer, D. N., 101; Leitzmann, W., 339; Lemoine, E., 36, 276; Lerch, M., 394; Liebmann, H., 277; Lilley, G., 170; Lindemann, F., 100, 508; Lockyer, N., 277; London, F., 220; Lorentz, H. A., 509, 562; Love, A. E. H., 218; Lovett, E. O., 394; Luckey, J. R., 170; Luther, F. S., 169.

McKay, A. C., 562; McKinney, T. E., 449; Macdonald, H. M., 169; Macfarlane, A., 394; Macmahon, P. A., 28; Mangoldt, H. von, 37; Mansion, P., 169; Marrack, P. E., 389; Martin, E. N., 27; Mason, J. W., 278; Mathews, G. B., 388, 562; Meyer, O. E., 100; Meyer, W. F., 340, 454; Miller, B. J., 455; Miller, G. A., 275; Moore, E. H., 218; Moritz, R. E., 37; Morley, R. K., 170; Morrison, F. M., 563; Murray, D., 455; Müth, G., 35; Myers, G. W., 275.

Neumann, E., 340; Newcomb, S., 169; Newkirk, B. L., 101; Newson, H. B., 166, 275; Nichols, T. F., 37; Noether, M., 168.

Osgood, W. F., 36; Ott, K. E. von, 170.

Painlevé, P., 394; Panek, A., 221; Pascal, E., 454; Peano, G., 169; Pexider, J. V., 509; Pieri, M., 36; Pirie, G., 38; Poincaré, H., 168, 169; Prasad, G., 101; Pringsheim, A., 100; Prochazka, F., 340; Pupin, M. I., 502; Puzyna, J., 340.

Radelfinger, F. G., 38; Raffy, L., 168; Ranum, A., 37; Rayleigh, Lord, 36, 277; Richard, G., 277; Richardson, R. G. D., 222; Rockwood, C. G., 455; Roever, W. H., 455; Rohn, K., 100; Roth, F., 100, 393; Rothrock, D. A., 510; Runge, C., 36; Russjan, C., 277.

Salmon, G., 36; Sarrau, E., 38; Saugrain, G., 94; Saurel, P. L., 278; Scheffers, G., 221; Schellbach, K., 339; Schepp, A., 563; Schilling, F.,

37, 454, 509; Schiaparelli, G. V., 339; Schmidt, K., 170; Schoenflies, A., 454; Schreber, K., 100; Schultze, A., 170, 217, 275; Schur, F., 100; Segre, C., 168; Servant, M., 276; Sharpe, R. F., 340; Shoop, C. F., 101; Sim, J. R., 278; Sinclair, M. E., 37; Sisam, C. H., 37; Skinner, J. J., 37; Slonimski, C. S., 38; Smith, D. E., 97, 449, 562; Sommer, J., 100; Stäckel, P., 277, 393, 448; Stebbins, J., 169; Stöchl, K., 454; Stokes, G. G., 36; Study, E. 36; Sylow, L., 100.

Taber, H., 563; Tanner, J. H., 509; Tannery, J., 100; Tannery, P., 95, 222; Tucker, R., 394.

Vahlen, K. T., 221; Valentin, J., 37; Van der Vyver, H., 562; Van Vleck, E. B., 455, 509; Van Vleck, J. M., 509; Vega, G. von, 220; Vieille, P., 340; Volterra, V., 28; Voronetz, P. V., 36.

Waldo, C. A., 275; Wangerin, A., 100; Warren, L., 510; Weber, M., 221; Weiss, W., 38; Weld, L. G., 166; Wellstein, J., 36; Westfall, D. W. A., 563; Westfall, J. V., 509; Weston, C. P., 169; White, H. S., 562; Whitford, E., 340; Whitney, A. W., 101; Whittaker, E. T., 562; Willard, H. R., 170; Wilson, A. H., 169; Woodward, C. M., 275; Woodward, R. S., 221, 394; Woodward, S. M., 101; Wright, T. W., 510.

Young, A., 169; Young, C. A., 394.

Zaremba, S., 562; Zgismondy, K., 454; Ziwet, A., 166.

Prizes:

Adams, 388; Belgian Academy, 36; Breslau Philosophical Faculty, 35; Denmark Academy, 560; Dutch Academy, 389; Göttingen Philosophical Faculty, 35; Guccia Medal, 167; Lobachevsky, 36; Madrid Academy, 338; Naples Society, 454; Nobel, 277; Paris Academy, 276, 337; Royal Society, 220; Smith, 389; St. Petersburg Academy, 220; Turin Academy, 560.

Universities and Technical Schools:

Basel, 453.
Berlin, 97, 339, 389.
Bern, 390.
Bonn, 98, 390.
Breslau, 32, 35, 390.
Brown, 561.
Bryn Mawr, 503.
California, 503.
Cambridge, 30.
Chicago, 503, 561.
Columbia, 503, 509.
Copenhagen, 507.
Cornell, 504.
Czernowitz, 99, 507.
Erlangen, 32.
Freiburg, 32, 391.
Geneva, 507.
Genoa, 99.
Giessen, 32, 452.
Göttingen, 35, 339.
Graz, 99, 508.
Greifswald, 32, 452.
Halle, 32, 391.
Harvard, 450.
Heidelberg, 33, 391.
Illinois, 504.
Indiana, 505.
Innsbruck, 35, 508.
Iowa, 505.
Jena, 33, 391.
Johns Hopkins, 561.
Kiel, 33, 392.
Koenigsberg, 98.
Lausanne, 453.
Leipzig, 33, 392.
Marburg, 34.
Michigan, 29, 505.
Minnesota, 561.
Missouri, 338, 505.
Munich, 34, 392.
Münster, 34, 452.
Nebraska, 506.
Neuchâtel, 453.
Ohio, 30.
Oxford, 31, 277, 451.
Paris, 167, 219, 393.
Pennsylvania, 506.
Prague, 99, 508.
Rostock, 34, 452.
Stockholm, 35.
Strassburg, 98, 452.
Syracuse, 506.
Tübingen, 34, 453.
Vienna, 99, 508.
Virgina, 561.
Wisconsin, 507.
Würzburg, 35, 393.
Yale, 451.
Zurich, 453.